

ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT & TREE PROTECTION MANAGEMENT PLAN

Project

Cessnock Hospital Redevelopment

Site Address

24 View Street, Cessnock NSW 2325

Date

September 2023

Consulting Arborist

Aymon Dandan

Diploma of Arboriculture (AQF Level 5)

Consulting Arborist & Author

Owen Meekins

Graduate Certificate of Arboriculture (AQF Level 8), LLB.





Acknowledgement of Country

We acknowledge Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples as the Traditional Custodians of this land – Australia; and in particular we would like to acknowledge the Wonnarua people as the Traditional Custodians of this area and recognise their continued connection to the land and their primary custodial care obligations.

Preface

Urban tree planting, tree protection and tree maintenance has a long history and was first documented in ancient Egypt around 4000 years ago. The specific use of trees in urbanized landscapes probably began as early as the 1200s, with the term 'Arborist' first being used formerly in England 1578.

Thus, urban trees have been around for generations. However, only recently have they become valued for providing more than aesthetic and recreational value. Now the benefits of urban forests are considered to span environmental, economic, cultural and socio-political domains alike. Today communities around the world regard trees and other vegetation as critical urban infrastructure. Ergo, this 'Green Infrastructure' is considered to be as important to the day-to-day functionality of an urban locale as the roads, public transport and/or its 'Grey Infrastructure'.

However, trees grow in a delicate balance with their environment and any changes to that balance must be minimized if the tree is to remain healthy and fulfil its potential. Therefore, tree protection is of critical importance - especially when it comes to the root system. Tree roots not only physically anchor the tree to the ground but are the critical supply lines of water and minerals and are essential for both carbohydrate storage and hormonal signalling. This in turn governing tree functionality, vigour and longevity.

Ergo, the aim of this arboricultural assessment is to pragmatically guide the proposed development works around any retained trees whilst mitigating foreseeable arboricultural impact. This through the formulation and implementation of best management practice tree protection methodologies. Thereby, promoting tree resilience and vitality post development.



Table of Contents

1	Executive Summary	1
2	Introduction	2
2.1	Overview	2
2.2	Objective	2
2.3	Limitations	2
2.4	Arboricultural References	2
3	Mapping	3
3.1	Development Site Geo-location	3
3.2	Mapping Methodology	3
3.3	Indicative Tree Geo-locations	3
4	Tree Assessment Methodology	9
4.1	Visual Tree Assessment Methodology	9
4.2	Visual Tree Assessment Parameters	9
4.3	Root Zone Encroachment	11
5	Visual Tree Assessment Data	14
6	Summary Data	17
6.1	Key Summary Points	17
7	Tree Protection Management Plan	18
7.1	Disclaimer	18
7.2	Overview	18
7.3	Project Arborist Site Inspection Schedule	18
7.4	Summary	19
7.5	General Comments	20
7.6	Restricted activities within the Tree Protection Zone	21
8	Tree Protection Control Framework	21
8.1	Compliance and Reporting	21
8.2	Root Protection	22
8.3	Soil Protection	22
8.4	Canopy Modifications	23
8.5	Tree Protection Zones	23
8.6	Tree Protection Installations	24
9	Plant Health Care	26
9.1	Overview	26

9.2	Post Development Plant Health Care Recommendations	26
10	References	27
11	Glossary	28
12	Appendix.....	31
12.1	Root Morphology Considerations.....	31
12.2	Encroachment Descriptors	32
12.3	Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) & Structural Root Zone (SRZ).....	33
12.4	Compensation for Tree Protection Zone Encroachment.....	34
12.5	Compensatory Replanting	35
12.6	Initial Non-Destructive Root Exploration & Root Mapping.....	36
12.7	Tree Sensitive Urban Design (TSUD).....	36
12.8	Descriptors: Age, Vitality & Structure.....	37
12.9	Descriptors: Useful Life Expectancy (ULE)	39
	IACA Significance of Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS).....	41
12.10	Proposed Design Plans	44
12.11	Assumptions and Limiting Conditions	46
12.12	AGS Quality Control.....	47



Cessnock Hospital: 24 View Street, Cessnock NSW 2325

1 Executive Summary

Active Green Services Pty Ltd (AGS) has been engaged to author an Arboricultural Report ('Report') that includes an Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA) and a Tree Protection Management Plan (TPMP) per *AS4970-2009 Protection of trees on development sites*. This regarding foreseeable arboricultural impact associated with the proposed redevelopment of the Cessnock Hospital. Hence, Visual Tree Assessments (VTA) were carried out on the sites relevant tree population by suitably qualified AGS arborists.

The following arboricultural recommendations in this Report are based on the VTA data, which includes trees biometrics, pedology, useful life expectancy, vitality, ecophysiology, biomechanics, and landscape significance *in situ*. In addition, the identified trees were further assessed with regards to the proposed development footprint and their calculated Tree Protection Zones¹ (TPZ). This information providing the data needed to calculate foreseeable arboricultural impact, tree viability, and the formulation of a site-specific TPMP. On review it was concluded that:

- *Trees 157, 158 and 159* will be in direct conflict with the proposed new driveway off Jurd Street. Foreseeably, these trees will not remain biologically viable under the current design. Therefore, they will need to be removed and Compensatory Replanting adopted.
- *Trees 160, 161, 162* and the Main Carpark - *Major*² TPZ encroachments that extend into the trees Structural Root Zone³ (SRZ) are calculated. However, with an adopted tree sensitive ideology it is foreseeable that that these trees will remain viable and can be retained. This ideology is to include initial Non-Destructive Root Exploration⁴ (NDRE) when working within the TPZ; and tree sensitive demolition/construction methodologies utilised as outlined in the TPMP.
- Regarding the remaining eighteen (18) identified trees, no significant arboricultural impact is foreseeable (*Minor*⁵). These trees are to be retained and afforded protection per the TPMP.

For ease of identification the Hospital's relevant tree population has been GPS located, satellite mapped, photographed and individually tree tagged. The detail supporting this summary follows.

¹ *AS4970-2009: Tree Protection Zone (TPZ):* A specified area above and below ground and at a given distance from the trunk set aside for the protection of a tree's roots and crown to provide for the viability and stability of a tree to be retained where it is potentially subject to damage by development.

² *AS4970-2009: Major (>10%):* If the proposed encroachment is greater than 10% (total area) of the TPZ, the project arborist must demonstrate that the tree(s) remain viable. The area lost to this encroachment should be compensated for elsewhere and be contiguous with the TPZ. Tree sensitive construction techniques may be used for minor works within this area providing no structural roots are likely to be impacted, and the project arborist can demonstrate that the tree(s) remain viable. Root investigation by non-destructive methods (NDRE) may be required for proposed works within this area. All work within the TPZ must be carried out under the supervision of the project arborist.

³ *AS4970-2009: SRZ* is the area of the root system used for stability, mechanical support, and anchorage of the tree. Severance of structural roots (>50 mm in diameter) within the SRZ is not recommended as it may lead to the destabilisation and/or serious decline of the tree.

⁴ Non-Destructive Root Exploration (NDRE) is the most reliable way to locate tree roots, guide Tree Sensitive Urban Design (TSUD) options, and determine tree viability post-development. Therefore, all excavations that are calculated as a 'Major' Encroachment must be initiated by non-destructive means (Hand-digging, Air Vac and/or Air -Spade) under the guidance of the Project Arborist. Machine excavations will only be permitted within the TPZ if and when the Project Arborist is satisfied that the excavation envelope is free of any significant root biomass.

⁵ *AS 4970-2009: Minor encroachment (<10%):* If the proposed encroachment is less than 10% (total area) of the TPZ, and outside of the SRZ, detailed root investigations should not be required. The area lost to this encroachment should be compensated for elsewhere and be contiguous with the TPZ.

2 Introduction

2.1 Overview

- i. AGS has been engaged to author an AIA and a site-specific TPMP ('Report') per *AS4970-2009 Protection of trees on development sites*. This Report will accompany a Review of Environment Factors (REF) that seeks approval for the construction and operation of a new two-storey clinical services building and refurbishment works at the Cessnock Hospital. It includes the following arboricultural elements:
 - The geo-location and visual assessment of any tree that is growing within the proposed construction footprint and/or is within close proximity (5m) of any works associated with the project.
 - The calculated encroachment level of the proposed works on the assessed tree. This so tree viability can be objectively determined post-development.
 - A site-specific Tree Protection Management Plan. This regarding the mitigation of foreseeable arboricultural impact to any tree that is to be retained whilst the development works are carried out pursuant to *AS4970-2009 Protection of trees on development sites*.

2.2 Objective

- i. The purpose of this Report is to provide all parties with standing an objective and unbiased arboricultural assessment of the trees within the proposed development area. This so prior to the commencement of works, practicable tree retention can be ascertained; and as per the site-specific TPMP mitigative measures and tree sensitive methodologies can be adopted per Best Management Practice.

2.3 Limitations

- i. All arboricultural reasonings that have been discussed and provided are based on extensive empirical arboricultural knowledge, the internationally recognised Visual Tree Assessment (VTA) methodology (Lonsdale, 2010), (Dunster et. al. 2019), the recognised *Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturists (IACA) Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS)*, and *Standards Australia AS4970-2009 Protection of trees on development sites*.
- ii. Albeit, whilst this arboricultural assessment is thorough it should be noted that trees are dynamic living organisms exposed to both unforeseeable biotic and abiotic variables which on occasion can be harsh and severe. Therefore, this arboricultural assessment will consider on the balance of probabilities the outcome(s) as opposed to those which could, may or fancifully occur.

2.4 Arboricultural References

- i. As a progressive arboricultural company AGS keeps abreast of relevant legislation, industry standards and research relating to all aspects of arboriculture and urban forestry. Hence, the following arboricultural reasonings, conclusions and recommendations of this Report are founded on current research and industry standards. For interest, supplementary educational material has been appended to aid in tree longevity and the betterment of urban and peri-urban forests.

3 Mapping


3.1 Development Site Geo-location

- i. The development site is located at 24 View Street, Cessnock NSW 2323. It is occupied by the Cessnock Hospital health service, a district-level hospital in the Hunter New England Local Health District, NSW.


3.2 Mapping Methodology

- i. Twenty-four (24) significant trees within the proposed redevelopment site were identified as being of particular interest and/or relevance. These subject trees were subsequently GPS located on the Esri Field Maps Application.
- ii. The Structural Root Zones (SRZ) and Tree Protection Zones (TPZ) of these identified trees were calculated per *AS4970-2009 Protection of trees on development sites*. These two (2) zones are indicatively represented diagrammatically in the following five (5) satellite sub-maps. This to visually aid in commentary and logistics regarding the utilisation of Non Destructive Root Exploration (NDRE), Tree Sensitive Urban Design (TSUD), and the installation of mandated tree protection.


3.3 Indicative Tree Geo-locations





Master Map: Cessnock Hospital Redevelopment, Cessnock NSW 2323




		Legend Tree Impact Level <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Major ● Minor ● Removal ○ Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) ○ Structural Root Zone (SRZ) Redevelopment Site
Scale : 1:700		
Spatial Reference : GDA2020 MGA Zone 56		
Project : CESSNOCK HOSPITAL REDEVELOPMENT 24 View Street, Cessnock NSW 2325		
Map : Tree Impact Map 01 of 05		





		Legend	
		Tree Impact Level	
Scale : 1:700		Major	Minor
Spatial Reference : GDA2020 MGA Zone 56		Removal	Tree Protection Zone (TPZ)
Project : CESSNOCK HOSPITAL REDEVELOPMENT		Structural Root Zone (SRZ)	Redevelopment Site
24 View Street, Cessnock NSW 2325			
Map : Tree Impact Map 03 of 05			





		Legend	
		Tree Impact Level	
Scale : 1:700		Major	Minor
Spatial Reference : GDA2020 MGA Zone 56		Removal	Tree Protection Zone (TPZ)
Project : CESSNOCK HOSPITAL REDEVELOPMENT 24 View Street, Cessnock NSW 2325		Structural Root Zone (SRZ)	Redevelopment Site
Map : Tree Impact Map 05 of 05			

4 Tree Assessment Methodology

4.1 Visual Tree Assessment Methodology

- i. Visual Tree Assessments (VTA) consistent with modern arboricultural practices and the International Society of Arboriculture standards were conducted by a suitably qualified and experienced arborist on the subject tree population. These assessments were conducted at ground level and therefore classified as *Level 2: Basic Assessment* (Dunster et al. 2019).
- ii. The tools used onsite to gather the necessary VTA data were a nylon percussion hammer, mobile phone, and an iPad. Tree height and canopy spread were recorded using a digital laser range finder (Nikon Forestry Pro). The trunk diameter and DBH height measurements were made by using a forestry DBH measuring tape. No dendrological diagnostics, soil analysis, tissue sampling and/or geological investigations were conducted at that time.
- iii. For ease of identification the subject trees have been GPS located, tree-tagged and photographed.


4.2 Visual Tree Assessment Parameters

- i. The following information outlines the basic parameters used to visually assess a tree. These parameters relate to the tabled Visual Tree Assessment data below.

Pedology: a visual assessment of the general health and condition of the soil within the trees root zone. For example, such considerations such as soil porosity, compaction level, topography, hydrology, soil profile and root zone growth frustrations both infrastructural and/or otherwise.


Tree Vitality: is categorised through a visual determination using:

- leaf, twig or needle size, shape, and colour
- seasonal growth rates
- reaction wood development
- foliage density & foliage coverage throughout the crown
- branch-tip dieback
- typical branch senescence.



Visual vitality index for mature trees (Callow, 2018)

Crown Class: this rating provides an indication on the tree’s relationship with other trees in the subject environment. The categories used include Dominant, Codominant, Intermediate, Suppressed and Open grown, as shown in the below diagram.



Indicative Crown Class (International Society of Arboriculture)

Structure & Biomechanics: a general evaluation of a tree’s branch union formation, growth formation and architecture (this may affect branch weight and/or mass damping). This assessment is species-specific as it is derived from the typical structure and branch formation of the subject species.

Form: ‘Trunk Form’ is an assessment of the trees basal flare, taper, decay, cavities, formation of multi-stems that develop near and/or at ground level, girdling roots and growing angles. Whilst general ‘Tree Form’ is an indication of crown shape. Crown shapes are influenced by their surroundings, light availability and branch loss, which can have varying impacts on their symmetry. A tree is assessed on its individual crown shape. However, as the tree may be growing within a group environment, this could lead to the individual shape being assessed further down the scale. Although a poor rating may be attributed to the tree, the tree’s contribution to the setting may be high through association within the group canopy. This can be generally recognised through the Crown Class rating.

Function: this assesses the site-specific usefulness of the tree *in situ*. Examples include soil retention, stormwater attenuation and mitigation of the Urban Heat Island. This is weighed up against any negative issues the tree(s) may be causing regarding persons, utilities and/or infrastructure.

Impediments: (rootzone and canopy) are structures that impede or suppress normal tree development and/or function. This can include hard impervious surfaces within the rootzone or powerlines and other structures within or adjacent to the canopy.

Useful Life Expectancy: A Useful Life Expectancy (ULE) rating is determined by using the adapted Safe Useful Life Expectancy (SULE) and Tree AZ methodologies (Barrell. 1996, 2000). The aim of these two systems is to convert what amounts to a complex arboricultural assessment into a few broad categories that are more logically understood. A ULE rating provides an estimate of a tree’s expected remaining lifespan after considering the current condition, vigour, and vitality of the subject tree(s) *in situ*. The main


aim is the establishment of a tree Retention Value. The objective of a calculated ULE assessment is to contribute to the relative value of individual trees for the purpose of informing future management options and residual risk. This calculated ULE rating will be inserted into the above-mentioned STARS Matrix (please refer to the Appendix section for further information).

Retention Value: The *Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS)* provides the Retention Value of a tree and/or group of trees by balancing a combination of environmental, cultural, physical, amenity and social values. The Landscape Significance of a tree is an essential criterion to establish the importance that a particular tree may have on a site. However, rating the significance of a tree becomes subjective and difficult to ascertain in a consistent and repetitive fashion due to assessor bias. It is therefore necessary to have a rating system utilising structured qualitative criteria to assist in determining the Retention Value for a tree. Therefore, a tree retention assessment is undertaken in accordance with the *Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturalists (IACA) Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS)*. As shown below, the system uses a scale of *High, Medium, and Low* significance in the landscape. Once the landscape significance of a tree has been defined, the Retention Value can be determined congruent with the trees’ abovementioned Useful Life Expectancy (ULE).

	Prioritise for Retention (High) - These trees are considered important for retention and must be retained and protected. Design modification or the re-location of building(s) should be considered to accommodate the setbacks as prescribed by <i>AS4970-Protection of trees on development sites</i> . Tree sensitive construction measures must be implemented (e.g., Buildouts, Pier and Beam cantilever, Screw Piling, Structural Confinement Cells if works are to proceed within the TPZ).
	Consider for Retention (Medium) - These trees should be retained and protected. However, these trees are considered less critical; but their retention should remain as a priority with removal considered only if adversely affecting the proposed building/works and all other alternatives have been considered and exhausted.
	Consider for Removal (Low) - These trees are not considered important for retention, nor require special works or design modification to be implemented for their retention.
	Priority for Removal (Removal) - These trees are considered hazardous, in irreversible decline and/or are a listed weed species and therefore should be removed irrespective of development.

4.3 Root Zone Encroachment

- i. Root depth and extension can be severely limited and highly irregular in urban settings. When root restrictions are minimal, root spread shows a strong relationship with trunk diameter, which is a more reliable predictor than canopy diameter (‘drip-line’) or tree height (Day et al., 2010). Therefore, all arboricultural recommendations and conclusions contained in this AIA with regards to tree root protection/retention were based upon and determined in accordance with the *Australian Standards AS4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites*.
- ii. A diagram indicative of a calculated TPZ and SRZ with regards to encroachment is included below to aid in the visualisation of the ‘No-Dig’ zones and where initial Non-Destructive Root Exploration must be carried out under the direct supervision of a Project Arborist. This diagram can be used to indicatively portray a SRZ and TPZ of any tree within close proximity to works, and thus the necessary ‘stair-step’ tree protection methodology can be adopted per the below Construction Encroachment Descriptors table.



Please Note

- Whilst working within the Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of any retained tree it is recommended that initial Non-Destructive Root Exploration (NDRE) is utilised under the guidance of an appointed Project Arborist; and
- Any retained tree within five (5) metres of development works requires tree protection. The tree protection is to be installed per the calculated TPZ and the site-specific TPMP prior to the commencement of works. On satisfactory completion, the appointed Project Arborist will inspect and issue a Tree Protection Compliance Memorandum.












Construction Encroachment Descriptors: Stair-step Approach

LEVEL	IMPACT CATEGORY	DESCRIPTION
1	<i>Removal (Non-Viable)</i>	<p>The design and tree encroach upon each other to a point that either the design must be modified, or the tree removed.</p> <p>*Please note that transplanting <u>may</u> be an option.</p>
2	<i>Major (Viable with TSUD)</i>	<p>The proposed development works encroachment is greater than 10% (total area) of the Tree Protection Zone; and impacts the calculated Structural Root Zone of the tree. Therefore, the Project Arborist must demonstrate that the subject tree will foreseeably remain viable post-development.</p> <p>Thereby, the tree does require immediate removal; however, the impact is significant enough to cause serious decline and/or premature mortality in the foreseeable future. Therefore, if the tree is to be retained Tree Sensitive Urban Design (TSUD) is required to reduce the construction footprint on the tree to an acceptable level; or Non-Destructive Root Exploration (NDRE) identifies minimal root biomass in the construction footprint.</p> <p>*Please note that Directional-drilling or transplanting <u>may</u> be an option.</p>
3	<i>Major (Viable)</i>	<p>The proposed development works encroachment is greater than 10% (total area) of the Tree Protection Zone, but outside the calculated Structural Root Zone of the tree. Therefore, the tree does not require immediate removal, but the Project Arborist must demonstrate that the subject tree will remain viable post-development. This may be adjudged by: -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The area lost to this encroachment is compensated for elsewhere contiguous with the TPZ. • Tree sensitive construction techniques may be used for minor works within this area, providing no structural roots are likely to be significantly impacted upon. • Non Destructive Root Exploration (NDRE) is undertaken and root mapping shows minimal root biomass and/or minimal impact in the construction footprint.
4	<i>Minor</i>	<p>The proposed development works encroachment is less than 10% (total area) of the Tree Protection Zone, and no impact to the Structural Root Zone of the tree.</p> <p>Therefore, the tree is expected to remain viable and is to be retained. Tree protection is to be installed; and the site-specific Tree Protection Management Plan must be adhered too for the duration of the project.</p>
5	<i>No Impact</i>	<p>No impact is foreseeable. However, tree protection is to be installed if the subject tree is within five (5) metres of the development works; and the site-specific Tree Protection Management Plan must be adhered too for the duration of the project.</p>

5 Visual Tree Assessment Data

Visual Tree Assessment data: September.2024 (All measurements are in Metres)

No.	Tree Tag	Botanical Name Common Name	Age Class	Height	Canopy Spread	DBH	DRC	SRZ	TPZ	Form	Structure	Vitality	ULE	Landscape Significance	Retention Value	Impact Level	Photo Link
1	140	<i>Ulmus parvifolia</i> Chinese Elm	Mature	15	EW:10 NS:11	0.50	0.60	2.7	6.0	Fair	Fair	Fair	Long	High	High	● Minor	
2	141	<i>Lophostemon confertus</i> Queensland Box	Mature	16	EW:9 NS:8	0.55	0.70	2.8	6.6	Fair	Fair	Fair	Long	Medium	High	● Minor	
3	144	<i>Syncarpia glomulifera</i> Turpentine	Mature	8	EW:4 NS:5	0.35	0.40	2.3	4.2	Poor	Poor	Fair	Medium	Low	Low	● Minor	
4	145	<i>Lophostemon confertus</i> Variegated Queensland Box	Semi Mature	9	EW:6 NS:5	0.30	0.40	2.3	3.6	Fair	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Medium	● Minor	
5	146	<i>Eucalyptus robusta</i> Swamp Mahogany	Semi Mature	12	EW:9 NS:8	0.35	0.50	2.5	4.2	Poor	Poor	Fair	Medium	Medium	Medium	● Minor	
6	147	<i>Syncarpia glomulifera</i> Turpentine	Semi Mature	9	EW:4 NS:4	0.30	0.35	2.1	3.6	Poor	Poor	Poor	Medium	Low	Low	● Minor	
7	148	<i>Syncarpia glomulifera</i> Turpentine	Semi Mature	9	EW:4 NS:4	0.30	0.35	2.1	3.6	Poor	Poor	Fair	Medium	Low	Low	● Minor	
8	149	<i>Syncarpia glomulifera</i> Turpentine	Semi Mature	10	EW:5 NS:5	0.35	0.45	2.4	4.2	Fair	Poor	Fair	Medium	Low	Low	● Minor	
9	150	<i>Lophostemon confertus</i> Variegated Queensland Box	Semi Mature	9	EW:3 NS:2	0.25	0.35	2.1	3.0	Fair	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Medium	● Minor	
10	151	<i>Syncarpia glomulifera</i> Turpentine	Semi Mature	8	EW:2 NS:2	0.25	0.30	2.0	3.0	Poor	Fair	Fair	Medium	Low	Low	● Minor	
11	152	<i>Eucalyptus blakelyi</i> Blakely's Red Gum	Semi Mature	9	EW:5 NS:6	0.30	0.35	2.1	3.6	Fair	Fair	Fair	Long	Medium	Medium	● Minor	
12	153	<i>Syncarpia glomulifera</i> Turpentine	Semi Mature	12	EW:4 NS:5	0.35	0.40	2.3	4.2	Fair	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Medium	● Minor	
13	154	<i>Syncarpia glomulifera</i> Turpentine	Semi Mature	11	EW:3 NS:3	0.35	0.45	2.4	4.2	Fair	Poor	Fair	Medium	Medium	Medium	● Minor	

No.	Tree Tag	Botanical Name Common Name	Age Class	Height	Canopy Spread	DBH	DRC	SRZ	TPZ	Form	Structure	Vitality	ULE	Landscape Significance	Retention Value	Impact Level	Photo Link
14	155	<i>Lophostemon confertus</i> Variegated Queensland Box	Semi Mature	9	EW:3 NS:3	0.25	0.30	2.0	3.0	Fair	Poor	Poor	Medium	Low	Low	● Minor	
15	156	<i>Lophostemon confertus</i> Variegated Queensland Box	Semi Mature	9	EW:3 NS:3	0.25	0.35	2.1	3.0	Fair	Poor	Poor	Medium	Medium	Medium	● Minor	
16	157	<i>Syncarpia glomulifera</i> Turpentine	Semi Mature	8	EW:3 NS:3	0.25	0.30	2.0	3.0	Poor	Poor	Fair	Medium	Low	Low	● Remove	
17	158	<i>Eucalyptus robusta</i> Swamp Mahogany	Mature	18	EW:10 NS:9	0.45	0.60	2.7	5.4	Fair	Fair	Fair	Long	High	High	● Remove	
18	159	<i>Syncarpia glomulifera</i> Turpentine	Mature	12	EW:6 NS:6	0.55	0.65	2.8	6.6	Fair	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Medium	● Remove	
19	160	<i>Eucalyptus tereticornis</i> Forest Red Gum	Mature	26	EW:14 NS:12	1.25	1.50	3.9	15.0	Fair	Fair	Poor	Long	High	High	● Major	
20	161	<i>Grevillea robusta</i> Silky Oak	Mature	28	EW:9 NS:9	1.10	1.30	3.7	13.2	Poor	Fair	Fair	Medium	High	High	● Major	
21	162	<i>Grevillea robusta</i> Silky Oak	Mature	25	EW:9 NS:8	0.90	1.20	3.6	10.8	Poor	Fair	Fair	Long	High	High	● Major	
22	184	<i>Ficus microcarpa</i> Hills Weeping Fig	Mature	16	EW:9 NS:9	0.80	1.20	3.6	9.6	Fair	Fair	Fair	Long	Medium	Medium	● Minor	
23	201	<i>Melaleuca viminalis</i> Weeping Bottlebrush	Mature	4	EW:2 NS:2	0.15	0.18	1.5	2.0	Fair	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Medium	● Minor	
24	202	<i>Melaleuca viminalis</i> Weeping Bottlebrush	Mature	4	EW:2 NS:3	0.15	0.18	1.5	2.0	Fair	Fair	Fair	Medium	Medium	Medium	● Minor	

KEY


- **Age Class, Form, Structure & Vitality:** per the International Society of Arboriculture descriptors.
- **Canopy Spread:** estimation of canopy spread to the four (4) cardinal points in metres. (North-South) & (East-West)
- **Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) & Diameter at Root Collar (DRC):** per AS 4979-2009 & calculated per the QAA & ProofSafe Calculators.
- **Structural Root Zone (SRZ) & Tree Protection Zone (TPZ):** per AS 4979-2009 & calculated per the QAA & ProofSafe Calculators.
- **Useful Life Expectancy (ULE):** adapted per (Barrell, 1996) & (Barrell, 2000).
- **Landscape Significance & Retention Value:** *Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS), Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturists, Australia.*

STARS Retention Value Descriptors

- **Remove (Priority for Removal):** *considered hazardous, or in irreversible decline, or weeds and should be removed irrespective of development.*
- **Low (Consider for Removal):** *These trees are not considered important for retention, nor require special works or design modification to be implemented for their retention.*
- **Medium (Consider for Retention):** *These trees are moderately important for retention. Their removal should only be considered if adversely affecting the proposed building/works and all other alternatives have been considered and exhausted.*
- **High (Priority for Retention):** *These trees are considered important for retention and should be retained and protected. Design modification or re-location of building/s should be considered to accommodate the setbacks as prescribed per AS4970-2009. Protection of trees on development sites.*

Indicative Encroachments within the Tree Protection Zone

- **No encroachment (0%):** *No likely or foreseeable encroachment within the TPZ.*
- **Minor encroachment (<10%):** *If the proposed encroachment is less than 10% (total area) of the TPZ, and outside of the SRZ, detailed root investigations should not be required. The area lost to this encroachment should be compensated for elsewhere and be contiguous with the TPZ.*
- **Major encroachment (>10%):** *If the proposed encroachment is greater than 10% (total area) of the TPZ, the Project Arborist must demonstrate that the tree(s) remain viable. The area lost to this encroachment should be compensated for elsewhere and be contiguous with the TPZ. Tree sensitive construction techniques may be used for minor works within this area providing no structural roots are likely to be impacted, and the Project Arborist can demonstrate that the tree(s) remain viable. Root investigation by non-destructive methods may be required for proposed works within this area. All work within the TPZ must be carried out under the supervision of the Project Arborist.*
- **Major (Total) encroachment:** *Subject trees located wholly within the construction footprint cannot be successfully retained.*



Indicative Encroachments

6 Summary Data

6.1 Key Summary Points

- i. Twenty-four (24) trees in and around Cessnock Hospital were identified, arboriculturally assessed and judiciously categorised with regards to foreseeable arboricultural impact per *AS4970-2009 Protection of trees on development sites*. Whereby, under the provided design footprint it was calculated that:
 - *Trees 157, 158 and 159* will be in direct conflict with a proposed new driveway off Jurd Street. Foreseeably, these trees will not remain biologically viable under the current design. Therefore, they will need to be removed and Compensatory Replanting adopted to offset canopy loss.
 - *Trees 160, 161, 162* and the Main Carpark – due to works associated with the the Main Carpark area the calculated TPZ and the SRZ of these trees will be impacted upon. However, with an adopted tree sensitive ideology it is foreseeable that that these trees will remain viable and can be retained. This ideology is to include initial NDRE when excavation works are undertaken within the TPZ; and a tree sensitive demolition/construction methodology utilised as outlined in the TPMP.
 - Eighteen (18) identified trees, have no significant arboricultural impact foreseeable. These trees are to be retained and afforded protection per the TPMP. (As abovementioned, to err on the side of caution initial NDRE is to be utilised whilst working within the calculated TPZ of any retained tree, and prior to any tree viability disputes. (*Refer s12.5*). NDRE can also be utilised to explore Tree Sensitive Urban Design options and alternative tree sensitive installations (*Refer s12.6*).
- ii. Statement of Significance: From a preliminary perspective it is concluded that with all due care shown and the implementation of a pre-determined tree sensitive design ideology, it is of a reasonable arboricultural belief that the project scope can be achieved congruent with the trees that are ear-marked for retention. Thereby minimalizing the effect(s) on the locality, community and environment. The caveat being that the project works are carried out with strict adherence to the site-specific Tree Protection Management Plan that follows.

7 Tree Protection Management Plan

7.1 Disclaimer

- i. The following site-specific Tree Protection Management Plan (TPMP) is to be used throughout the duration of the abovementioned Project. Although the framework includes monitoring controls operated by the appointed Project Arborist, compliance to the TPMP is the responsibility of the 'Client,' and as such AGS cannot accept liability for any adverse effects arising from 'non-compliance' to documented controls and/or any subsequent changes to the scope or methods documented in the TPMP provided to the 'Client.'

7.2 Overview

- i. Trees are dynamic living organisms and therefore are susceptible to development impact either direct and/or indirect, biotic and/or abiotic. Arboricultural impact due to development encroachment, especially within the calculated Tree Protection Zone (TPZ), causes 'dendrological stress' in varying degrees. This stress has the potential to heavily impact upon tree vitality and thus tree longevity (Boddy. 1983). Therefore, the Australian Standard *AS4970-2009 Protection of trees on development sites* must always be adhered to. The objective of this Standard is to provide guidance through the use of a science-based methodology to arborists and others concerned with the care and protection of trees; and all others interested in the integration between trees and construction. Hence safeguarding community tree assets.
- ii. This Tree Protection Management Plan (TPMP) includes both activity specific controls as well as a range of generic tree protection controls. The control framework pre-dominantly focuses on identifying and mitigating aspects of the design and construction process that can adversely affect tree vitality, stability and/or useful life expectancy. In addition, it includes preventative controls (designed to prevent adverse outcomes), directive controls (designed to promote desired outcomes) and detective controls (designed to monitor compliance with any statutory requirements and the agreed control framework). The engagement of a Project Arborist is a key element of the control framework and is a multi-faceted control, in terms of preventing damage, providing direction, and detecting areas of non-compliance/improvement.

7.3 Project Arborist Site Inspection Schedule

- i. In accordance with the Australian Standard *AS4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites*, inspections must be conducted by the appointed Project Arborist at the following key project stages:
 - Prior to any work commencing on-site (including demolition, earthworks, or site clearing) and following the installation of tree protection.
 - During any excavations, building works, and any other activities carried out within the Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of any tree to be retained and protected.

- A minimum of once per month during the construction phase.
- After all major construction has ceased, following the Remove of tree protection. It shall be the responsibility of the project manager to notify the project arborist prior to any works within the TPZ of any protected tree at a minimum of forty-eight (48) hours' notice. To ensure the tree protection plan is implemented, hold points have been specified in the following table.

Development Stage	Hold Point	Description
Pre-Construction	1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appoint a Project Arborist (minimum AQF Level 5) • Prior to any development works, any tree for Remove is to be marked clearly (tape, paint, tag etc.) by the Project Arborist
	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scheduled 'Pre-Start' meeting. • Tree Protection for any retained tree(s) will be installed prior to demolition and/or site establishment. The appointed arborist will inspect and certify the tree protection per the Tree Protection Management Plan. A Tree Protection Compliance Memorandum issued.
During Construction	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monthly scheduled site inspections of the retained tree population will be conducted (if works are outside the TPZ) and memorandum provided.
	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The appointed Project Arborist will oversee, and document all works carried out within the TPZ of any retained tree. A weekly Works Memorandum to be provided.
	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Visual tree inspection by the appointed arborist of the retained tree population once the major works have been completed and the tree protection has been removed.
Post Construction	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Final Visual Tree Inspection of the retained tree population and a Completion of Arboricultural Works Memorandum provided. • Ongoing Monthly Inspections & Memorandums issued at the discretion of the Project Arborist.
Plant Health Care (PHC) <i>(Recommended s.9)</i>	7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Top dressing of Organic Mulch – where applicable. • Liquid solution of Organic nutrients (Botanicals). • PHC Memorandum provided.

7.4 Summary

- A Pre-commencement of Work ('Pre-Start') onsite meeting must be held with the appointed Project Arborist and all other parties deemed to have *locus standii*.
- Proactive canopy modifications (pruning) options with regards to the facilitation of machinery and/or pedestrian access should be considered, discussed and if deemed necessary scheduled prior to the commencement of the main development works.

- iii. Tree Protection Fencing is to be erected around any tree within five (5) metres of the development works; and temporary hard surfaces are to be made readily available and on site whilst working within close proximity of any trees calculated Tree Protection Zone (i.e., rumble boards & track-mats).
- iv. The appointed onsite Project Arborist is to guide/supervise any works within close proximity of the tree(s) Tree Protection Zone. Whilst working within the Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of any tree, the excavations must be undertaken by initial Non-Destructive Root Exploration through the use of Hand-digging, Air - Spade, Air- Vac or a combination thereof under the direct supervision/guidance of the appointed onsite Project Arborist.
- v. The Project Arborist is to author and issue a Completion of Arboricultural Works Memorandum at the end of the works/project.
- vi. A Plant Health Care regimen is to be undertaken post-development and a memorandum issued.
- vii. The caveat for the abovementioned project proceeding and its continuance is that the site-specific Tree Protection Management Plan provided must be strictly adhered to at all times.

7.5 General Comments

- i. All construction work within the TPZ of any retained tree must be authorised & supervised by the appointed Project Arborist and/or the Tree Manager/Owner.
- ii. The use of 'strip-style' excavation adjacent to and/or within the TPZ of any retained tree is strictly prohibited.
- iii. When removing an existing surface (concrete or similar hardscape), excavation must occur from above the existing surface; the Remove work is to be carried out with a straight batter bucket; a 'lifting motion' is to be adopted; and the machinery is to move in a backward direction toward the extremity of the trees TPZ. In addition, all due care must be taken to ensure that the TPZ of any adjacent tree(s) are isolated and protected from vehicular entry (both above and below ground); and soil compaction is minimised within the TPZ by utilising ground protection as outlined in the Tree Protection Installation protocols.
- iv. On completion of the hardscape Remove, the onsite Project Arborist is to document any significant root observations; and where applicable carry out NDRE (root mapping) with regards to future construction, arboricultural impact. TSUD options and alternatives are also to be provided where applicable.
- v. With regards to the reinstatement of ground, the addition and application of any new soil (or replanting mediums) must be carried out with all due care (e.g., root collar, topography and hydrology considerations), and with prior express consent from the Project Arborist. All new mediums are to comply with *AS4454-2003 Composts, soil conditioners and mulches*.
- vi. Where fencing is to be replaced, it is preferable to use existing post holes when they located within TPZs. New pier holes are to be hand dug or by air-vac excavation under the supervision/guidance of the Project Arborist.

- vii. It is imperative that TPZ fencing, or main stem, branch and ground protection measures are installed for the protection of all retained trees prior to the commencement of the future Construction Phase, and that it remains *in situ* for the duration and until completion of proposed construction works.
- viii. TPZ fencing and other measures must be fixed so that they cannot be moved either by accidental physical impact or other inadvertent means. There shall be no entry within any TPZ by any construction crew or other persons during the construction phase without authorisation and/or attendance of the Project Arborist. That includes, no storage of builders' materials, machinery, pedestrian traffic, disposal of waste paints, fuels etc as listed below.

7.6 Restricted activities within the Tree Protection Zone

- i. As per *AS4970-2009 Protection of trees on development sites* - Activities generally excluded from the TPZ include but are not limited to are as follows:
 - a) Machine excavation including trenching.
 - b) Cultivation.
 - c) Storage.
 - d) Preparation of chemicals, including preparation of cement products.
 - e) Parking of vehicles and plant.
 - f) Refuelling.
 - g) Dumping of waste.
 - h) Wash down and cleaning of equipment.
 - i) Placement of fill.
 - j) Soil level changes.
 - k) Temporary or permanent installation of utilities and signs, and
 - l) Physical damage to the tree.

8 Tree Protection Control Framework

8.1 Compliance and Reporting

- i. The generic tree protection controls in this section are designed to be used in conjunction with the recommendations of this site-specific Arboricultural Report.
- ii. All relevant standards, specifications, policies, and resource conditions of consent are incorporated into the TPMP.

- iii. The Project Arborist will undertake scheduled and unscheduled site visits to monitor compliance with all aspects of the TPMP.
- iv. Any deviations from the TPMP must be approved by the Council Arborist. Non-compliance issues must be reported to the Project Management immediately.
- v. An Arboricultural Completion Memorandum must be prepared by the Project Arborist including but not limited to comments and observations about any root pruning/root retention and compliance to the TPMP.
- vi. The TPMP must always be available on site and be included in site inductions and 'toolbox' sessions.
- vii. Any damage to tree protection fencing or trees must be reported to the Project Arborist immediately (including damage not caused by activities associated with the project).
- viii. Non-compliance issues must be documented and addressed at daily pre-start meetings/toolbox sessions.

8.2 Root Protection

- i. Root pruning should be kept to the absolute minimum and should only be completed by the Project Arborist. All root pruning assessments should be made initially by the Project Arborist, and the Council Arborist contacted where approval is required. All roots larger than 25mm in diameter are to be retained in an undamaged state and protected, unless the Council Arborist gives permission for them to be pruned. Irrespective of size, any roots which have a significant effect on the health and stability of a tree shall not be removed without the prior approval of the Council's Arborist, and this may include tree roots that are less than 25mm in diameter.
- ii. Roots must be severed using a sharp pruning saw/tool to create a clean cut that is flush with the face of the completed excavations.
- iii. Retained roots and cut surfaces should be protected from desiccation and physical/frost damage. The method will depend on the seasonal weather conditions and length of time expected between completing the excavations and reinstatement works and should be determined by the Project Arborist. Typically, retained roots must be wrapped in a suitable wool much or hessian product that is secured in place using bio-degradable string and kept moist, however supplementary watering may be required depending on the weather conditions based on the Project Arborist's discretion.

8.3 Soil Protection

- i. All machines shall only operate from either formed surfaces, surfaces that will be excavated or from an appropriate load bearing protective matting. The area covered by the protective matting shall be sufficient to allow ground protection for all vehicle movements, including the turning of any vehicles. (Please refer to Branch, Trunk & Ground Protection in the Appendix).
- ii. No chemicals, re-fueling operations, spoil, fill, soil, materials of any kind, or equipment will be stored,

emptied, disposed of, or temporarily placed in areas that the tree's root system could be utilizing unless approved by the Project Arborist and this is on an existing hard impermeable surface.

- iii. Water used for washing down machinery must not be allowed to runoff and contaminate soil volumes/water sources that are either currently or are likely to be utilised by the tree.
- iv. The risk of soil borne infections being introduced to the site from equipment, tools and footwear must be assessed by the Project Arborist and mitigated as necessary (mitigation will typically involve cleaning the equipment before it is used on the site with a sterilizing agent, such as Trigene or Sterigene).

8.4 Canopy Modifications

- i. Trees are complex living organisms and the intervention in the natural growth of a tree should only occur where the biology and the physiology of the organism are understood to such a level that intervention will have clear and predictably beneficial outcomes.
- ii. However, pruning may be required to accommodate construction, plant & equipment and/or vehicles. Thus, any pruning assessments pertaining to the development must be visually made by an AQF Level 5 arborist; and if pruning is deemed necessary a Pruning Specifications Report is to be authored *per AS4373-2007 Pruning of amenity trees* by an AQF Level 5 arborist and provided to the relevant Tree Manager/Owner and Council representative.
- iii. Once the Pruning Specifications Report is formerly approved by the appropriate authority the requested the pruning can be carried out by a minimum AQF Level 3 arborist pursuant to *AS4373-2007 Pruning of amenity trees*.
- iv. In addition, the risk of damaging agents being introduced from pruning saws/tools must be assessed by the Project Arborist and mitigated as necessary (mitigation will typically involve cleaning the equipment before it is used on the site with a sterilizing agent, such as Trigene or Sterigene). It may be necessary to clean pruning tools during work on the site if there is the potential of transmitting a damaging biotic agent between trees on the same site.


8.5 Tree Protection Zones

- i. Tree Protection Zones are also Exclusion Zones and must be created using tree protection fencing that is consistent with the requirements of *AS4970-2009 Protection of trees on development sites*. The position of the fencing will be determined by the Project Arborist and once positioned shall not be altered without the prior consent from the Project Arborist.
- ii. If it is not pragmatic to use the abovementioned tree protection fencing then individual trunk, branch and ground protection must be installed to any retained tree located within five (5) metres of any proposed work zone. (Please refer to the diagram in the appendix).
- iii. Tree protection zones must be clearly labelled displaying the words 'Tree Protection Zone'. Signs will be placed on fencing of individual trees or every 10 linear metres on groups of trees.

- iv. Where the work site is only on one side of the tree, the barrier may be erected along the face of the tree adjacent to the work site.
- v. Tree Protection Barriers must be erected before any site works commence and shall not be removed or moved closer to the trunk of the tree, until after site works are complete. No person, vehicle or machinery may enter the Tree Protection Zone unless otherwise authorised to do so by the Project Arborist.
- vi. Operating plant must be positioned to avoid the expellant of exhaust fumes and radiant operating heat damaging the physiological functions of the tree.


8.6 Tree Protection Installations

- i. Tree Protection is to be erected around any tree within five (5) metres of development per *AS4970-2009 Protection of trees on development sites*.



Alternative Trunk, Branch & Ground Protection

- i. When tree protection fencing cannot be installed or requires temporary Remove, other tree protection measures should be used. Where necessary, install protection to the trunk and branches of trees as pictured below.
- ii. The materials and positioning of protection are to be specified by the Project Arborist and are to include:
 - For the trunk and branch protection use boards and padding that will prevent damage to the bark. For the trunk boards a minimum height of two (2) metres is recommended. Boards are to be strapped to trees, not nailed or screwed. Do not attach temporary powerlines, stays or guys to the tree.
 - If temporary access for machinery is required within the Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) such as site access, ground protection measures will be required. The purpose of ground protection is to prevent root damage and soil compaction within the TPZ. Measures may include a permeable membrane such as geotextile fabric beneath a layer of mulch or crushed rock below rumble boards. (These measures may be applied to root zones beyond the TPZ).
 - Rumble boards should be of a suitable thickness to prevent soil compaction and root damage and a top dressing of Organic Mulch (60mm-70mm deep) is to be applied where pragmatically possible.



9 Plant Health Care

9.1 Overview

- i. It is well documented that even minor encroachments due to urban development and construction pressures can 'stress' a tree, which in turn can result in a reduced useful life expectancy (Watson, 2014). Therefore, it is strongly recommended that a proactive species-specific Plant Health Care Plan is formulated and implemented with regards to any development and tree vitality. Plant Health Care (PHC) is a holistic approach to best management practice with regards to urban tree care and the understanding of the various interactions within the environment in which they grow. The core objectives being the management and enhancement of the tree(s) biological, physiological, and aesthetic traits whilst maintaining and/or improving the surrounding landscape's appearance. As PHC is science-based it involves routine arboricultural monitoring, proactive soil, and plant treatments, along with the identification and mitigation of foreseeable arboricultural risks to person, property and/or the environment.

9.2 Post Development Plant Health Care Recommendations

- i. With an educated understanding of the functions of tree roots and the potentially negative effects of development impact it is strongly recommended that a post-development Plant Health Care regimen is formulated by the Project Arborist and enacted. This should include:
 - a) *An application of Organic Botanicals:* Organic materials are essential components which stimulate vitality leading to root development and thus development of new tissue. This whilst enacting a tree's defence system improving resistance to disease and increasing defence responses and capabilities.
 - b) *Top-dressing of Organic Mulch:* It is strongly recommended that a top-dressing of organic composted mulch or woodchips is applied to the TPZ of all retained trees post-development. This layer should be added to a depth of 60-70mm (Urban. 2008). A composted mulch application will:
 - Prevent soil compaction and minimise future root damage.
 - Amend soil structure to improve the water-holding capacity and fertility by affecting both texture, porosity and structure.
 - Reduces soil moisture loss through lower temperatures & suppress undesirable plant species.
 - Promote root generation & increase soil organic matter and avail nutrients to the tree.
 - Stimulate soil microflora and micro fauna activity and assist in the survival of affected tree(s) maintaining and ensuring optimum vitality and structural stability so as to maximize its ability to resist pest and diseases (Watson et. al. 2014).

10 References

- Barrell, J.D. (1996). *'Pre-planning Tree Surveys: Safe Useful Life Expectancy (SULE) is the Natural Progression'*. Arboricultural Journal, Vol 17 pp 33-46.
- Barrell, J.D. (2009). *'Tree AZ. Detailed guidance on its use'*. Version 10.10 – ANZ. United Kingdom.
- Breloer, H. and Mattheck, C. (1994), *'The Body Language of Trees: A Handbook for Failure Analysis'*. Stationary Office Books, London, England, UK.
- Boddy, L., and A.D.M Rayner. (1983). 'Origins of Decay in living deciduous trees: The role of moisture content and re-appraisal of the expanded concept of tree decay'. *New Phytology* 94: 623-641.
- Callow, C., May, P. and Johnstone, D. (2018). *'Tree vitality assessment in urban landscapes.'* *Forests*. 9(5), 279.
- Coder, K. (2021). *'The Meaning of Tree Biomechanics to Tree Health Care Providers'*. ISA Conference 2021.
- Draper, D. B and Richards, P.A. (2009). *'Dictionary for Managing Trees in Urban Environments'*. CSIRO Publishing, Victoria, Australia.
- Dobbertin, M. (2005). *'Tree growth as indicator of tree vitality and of tree reaction to environmental stress: a review'*. *European Journal of Forest Research* 124: 319-333.
- Dunster, J., Smiley, E., Matheny, N. and Lily S. (2017). *'Tree Risk Assessment-Manual'*. International Society of Arboriculture, Champaign, IL.
- Eisner, N., Gilman, E.F. Grabosky, J. and Beeson, R.C. (2002). *'Branch morphology affects compartmentalization of pruning wounds.'* *Journal of Arboriculture* 28:99–105.
- Gilman, E. and Lily, S. (2002). *'Best Management Practices Tree Pruning'*. International Society of Arboriculture, Champaign IL. Pp 35.
- Harris, R.W., Clark, J.R. and Matheny, N.P. (2004). *'Arboriculture: Integrated management of landscape trees, shrubs and vines, 4th edition'*. Prentice Hall, New Jersey, USA.
- Hitchmough, J.D. (1994) *'Urban Landscape Management'*. Inkata Press. Australia.
- IACA. (2010). *'Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS)'*. Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturists. Australia, www.iaca.org.au
- Jim, C.Y. (2003) *'Protection of urban trees from trenching damage in compact city environments.'* *Cities* 20, 87-94.
- Kozlowski, T., Kramer, J., and Pallardy, S. G. (1991) *'The Physiological Ecology of Woody Plants'*, Academic Press, San Diego.
- Lily, S., Matheny, N., and Smiley, E. (2017). *'Best Management Practices - Tree Risk Assessment'*. International Society of Arboriculture. Champaign, IL 61826-3129.
- Lonsdale, D. (2010). *'Principles of Tree Hazard Assessment and Management'*. 9th impression, TSO, Norwich.
- Matheny, N. and Clark, J. (1994). *'A Photographic guide to Hazard Trees in Urban Areas'*. 2nd Edition. Illinois, USA.
- Miller, R. W. (1997). *'Urban forestry: planning and managing urban greenspaces'* (2nd ed.). Upper Saddle River, N.J.
- Niklas, K. (1992). *'Plant Biomechanics: An Engineering Approach to Plant Form and Function'*. The University of Chicago Press, Illinois, USA.
- Standards Australia AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites*. Standards Australia, Sydney, Australia.
- Standards Australia AS 4373-2007 Pruning of Amenity Trees*. Standards Australia, Sydney, Australia.
- The University of Melbourne. (2016). *'Burnley Plant Guide'*. The University of Melbourne.
- Thompson, J. W. and K. Sorvig (2000). *'Sustainable Landscape Construction: A guide to green building outdoors'*. Washington D.C., Island Press.
- Trowbridge, P. J. and N. L. Bassuk (2004). *'Trees in the Urban Landscape: Site Assessment, Design and Installation'*. Hoboken, New Jersey, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Urban, J. (2008). 'Up by roots. Healthy soils and trees in the built environment'. International Society of Arboriculture.
- Watson, G., Hewitt, A., Custic, M. and Lo, M. (2014). *'The Management of Tree Root Systems in Urban and Suburban Settings II: A Review of Strategies to Mitigate Human Impacts'*. *Arboriculture & Urban Forestry* 2014. 40(5): 249–271.

11 Glossary

The following definitions are stated in the Glossary of Arboricultural Terms, International Society of Arboriculture 2017, unless otherwise stated.

Abiotic: plant ailment caused by non-living, environmental, or synthetic agents

Adaptive Growth: or Response Growth is new wood produced in response to damage or loads, which compensates for higher strain (deformation) in marginal fibres; it includes reaction wood (compression & tension) and wound wood.

Barrier Zone: chemically defended tissue formed by the still living cambium, after a tree is wounded or invaded by pathogens to inhibit the spread of decay into new annual growth rings. Wall 4 in CODIT model. Contrast with reaction zone

Bifurcation: Natural division of a branch or stem into two or more stems or parts

Biotic: pertaining to non-human living organism/ biotic agent: a living organism capable of causing disease/ biotic disorder: disorder caused by a living organism.

Bracket: British English term for fruiting body of a decay fungus. See *Conk*.

Chlorosis: is a yellowing of leaf tissue due to a lack of chlorophyll. Probable causes of chlorosis include poor drainage, damaged roots, compacted roots, high alkalinity, and nutrient deficiencies in the plant.

Codominant Structure: Stems or trunks of about the same size originating from the same position from the main stem⁵². When the stem bark ridge turns upward the union is strong; when the ridge turns inward the union is weak, a likely point of failure in storm or windy weather conditions or where increasing weight causes undue stress on the defective union.

CODIT: acronym for Compartmentalisation of Decay/Disease In Trees (refer Compartmentalisation).

Compartmentalisation: Dynamic tree defence process involving protection features that resist the spread of pathogens and decay causing organisms. Natural defence process in trees by which chemical and physical boundaries are created that act to limit the spread of disease and decay organisms.

Compaction: Results from loads or stress forces applied to the soil as well as shear forces. Both foot traffic and vehicle traffic exert both forces on soils. Vehicle traffic may cause significant compaction at depths of 150–200 mm (the area in which most absorbing roots are located). The degree of compaction will depend on weight of vehicles, number of movements, soil moisture levels and clay content. Soil handling, stockpiling, and transporting also tend to lead to the breakdown of soil structure and thus to compaction. Vibration as a result of frequent traffic or adjacent construction activities will also compact soils.

Compression wood: (1) in mechanics, the action of forces to squeeze, crush or push together any material (s) or substance(s); contrast with tension. (2) the ability of an internal combustion engine to contain or pressurized a combustible fuel - air mixture.

Conk: Fruiting body or non-fruiting body (sterile conk) of a fungus. Often associated with decay.

Crown: Portion of the tree consisting of branches and leaves and any part of the trunk from which branches arise.

Crown/Canopy: The main foliage bearing section of the tree, these terms are interchangeable.

Crown damage: The canopy of trees can be directly or indirectly damaged. Incorrect techniques of pruning such as lopping or flush cutting may produce wounds that are susceptible to infection by wood decay organisms. Similarly, mechanical damage to branches by machinery, etc. will also create wounds. Trees automatically respond to wounding and in doing so use stored sugars. Any wound places an additional load on trees that will inevitably be stressed during construction.

Damping: Damping occurs where energy is dissipated. In trees, damping occurs naturally in three main ways with aerodynamic damping of the leaves, internal damping in the wood and root zones, and with mass damping of the branches.

Deadwood: Dead branches within the canopy of tree. Deadwood is a naturally occurring feature of most tree species and comprises dead or decaying branches within the canopy of a tree. Deadwood may have habitat value and require removal only according to the considered risk of its location, i.e., high use pedestrian area or damage to adjacent infrastructure.

Removal of deadwood is recommended only where it represents an unacceptable level of hazard. Consideration of the need for deadwood removal should consider the occupancy of the target zone, i.e., high use pedestrian area or presence of infrastructure,

damage to the tree during its removal as well as its conservation for habitat value. In certain instances, retention of a reduced tree structure for habitat purposes considered appropriate, especially when hollows are present.

Dead wooding: (Crown cleaning): The removal of dead branches⁶⁰. Recommendation to remove deadwood is for removal of all dead branches within tree canopy > 30mm diameter in trees which overhang pedestrian or vehicular areas and removal of all dead branches within tree canopy > 50mm diameter if trees are located in a Parkland or similar area.

Decay: The process of degradation of woody tissues by micro-organisms.

Desiccation: Severe drying out. Dehydration.

Drip Line: Is the imaginary perimeter line at soil surface level which is directly below the outermost edge of the tree's foliage or canopy.

Estimated Life Expectancy (ELE): Assessed on trees of particular species in the urban environment, including health and structural conditions which may exist.

Epicormic bud: Latent or adventitious bud located at the cambium and concealed by the bark.

Epicormic shoots: Shoots produced from epicormic buds at the cambium of trunks or branches.

Field Capacity: Maximum soil moisture content following the drainage of water due to the force of gravity.

Included bark: Inwardly formed bark within the junction of branches or codominant stems.

Kino: Dark red to brown resin-like substance produced by trees in the genera Eucalyptus, Pterocarpus and Butea and related genera. Kino forms in the barrier zones. Large kino veins form in some trees in response to injury and infection.

Leaves: The main function of leaves is photosynthesis, that is, the production of sugars and oxygen. The sugars produced by the leaves (and any other green tissue) are the source of chemical energy for all living cells in the entire plant and as such are essential for the normal functioning and survival of the tree. Anything that directly or indirectly damages the leaves will interfere with photosynthesis.

Non-woody part of tree: 'organs that increase the surface area of vascular plants, thereby capturing more solar energy for photosynthesis'. ... maybe classified as microphylls (usually spine-shaped leaves with a single vein) or megaphylls (leaves with a highly branched vascular system). Needles and leaves are major energy trapping organs of a tree. Flowers are modified leaves ... as they fit the definition of an organ (*Shigo.2003*).

Macropore: Larger space between soil particles that is usually air-filled and allows for water movement and root penetration. Contrast with micropore.

Micropore: Space between soil particles that are small and likely to be water filled.

Mortality Spiral: Sequence of stressful events or conditions causing the decline and eventual death of a tree. Once in a Mortality Spiral trees are more likely to succumb to any further or additional stress factors such as drought, pest infestation or disease. (See definition Stress)

Necrosis: Localised death of tissue in a living organism.

Occlusion (See wound): Shut in or out. Occlusion is the process of trees forming callus and clear wood over wounds.

Phototropism: Influence of light on the direction of plant growth. Tendency of plants to grow towards light.

Phloem: Plant vascular tissue that transports photosynthates and growth regulators. Situated on the inside of the bark, just outside the cambium. Is bidirectional (transports up and down). Contrast with xylem.

Photosynthesis: Process in green plants (and in algae and some bacteria) by which light energy is used to form glucose (chemical energy) from water and carbon dioxide.

Reaction wood: Wood forming in leaning or crooked stems or on lower or upper sides of branches as a means of counteracting the effects of gravity. See compression wood and tension wood.

Root Heave or Pavement Heave: Triggered by tree roots that do not have sufficient growing space underground, caused largely by compacted soil that has been densified to provide structural stability for the overlying pavement. This forces the tree's roots to colonize immediately underneath the hardscape surface, causing the paved surface to lift and/or crack.

Shrub: A woody plant similar to a tree except it is usually several-stemmed and smaller than a tree.

Stem / Trunk: Organ which supports branches, leaves, flowers and fruit; may also be referred to as 'the trunk'.

Stress: In Plant Health Care, (1) a factor that negatively affects the health of a plant; a factor that stimulates a response. (2) mechanics, a force per unit area.

Stress – acute: Disorder or disease that occurs suddenly and over a short period of time.

Stress – chronic: Disorder or disease occurring over a longer time.

Structural Root Zone (SRZ): The SRZ is the area of the root system (as defined by AS 4970-2009) used for stability, mechanical support, and anchorage of the tree. Severance of structural roots (>50 mm in diameter) within the SRZ is not recommended as it may lead to the destabilisation and/or serious decline of the tree.

Structural soils: The term "structural soils" applies to a family of engineered soils that attempt to solve the problem of providing engineered support for trafficable pavements (a compacted sub-base), while meeting biological needs of the tree (pore space, aeration and water). These include sand-based "Amsterdam Tree Soils" developed in Europe, and skeletal or gap-graded soils, composed of an interlocking stone matrix with the voids filled with loose, uncompacted soil (notably CU Structural Soil first developed by Jason Grabosky and others at Cornell University). Structural soils need to be designed to suit local conditions. Gap-graded stone soils, however, may not be the ultimate solution to creating appropriate growing conditions below pavements, as the mix may comprise 80% inert rocks, substantially reducing effective rootable volume.

Tree: Long lived woody perennial plant greater than (or usually greater than) 3 m in height with one or relatively few main stems or trunks. A tree has 3 major organs – roots, stem and leaves.

Tree Protection Zone (TPZ): Australian Standard AS 4970-2009 – Protection of trees on development sites s1.4.7, Tree Protection Zone (TPZ): A specified area above and below ground and at a given distance from the trunk set aside for the protection of a tree's roots and crown to provide for the viability and stability of a tree to be retained where it is potentially subject to damage by development.

Vigour: Ability of a tree to sustain its life processes. The term 'vigour' in this document is synonymous with commonly used terms such as 'health' and 'vitality'. Inherent genetic capacity of a plant to deal with stress. Physical strength and health. A tree with good vigour has the ability to sustain life processes and synonymous with good health.

Visual Tree Inspection (VTA): Is a detailed visual inspection of a tree and surrounding site.

Vitality: Ability of plant to deal effectively with stress – i.e., its Life-force.

Watersprouts/ Epicormic growth (Usually multiple shoots): Shoots produced from epicormic buds at the cambium of trunks or branches. Grows 'from the stub ends and only grows from the outermost living tissue layer of that year's growth. They are weakly attached and prone to falling out or being blown off with the risk increasing markedly as they increase in size. When epicormic shoots arise from stub ends that are decaying, the chances of them falling out are significantly greater'.


Wound: An opening that is created when the bark is cut, removed, or injured.

Xylem: Main water and mineral-conducting (unidirectional, up only) tissue in trees and other plants. Provides structural support. Arises (inward) from the cambium and becomes wood after lignifying. Contrasted with phloem.

Zone of Rapid Taper: The area within 1–2m of the trunk on larger trees is frequently referred to as the 'Zone of Rapid Taper' because structural roots found there often exhibit considerable secondary thickening- not present on roots farther from the trunk (*Wilson 1964*). *Wilson (1964)* additionally reviews the development of this zone and its relation to mechanical stability.

12 Appendix

12.1 Root Morphology Considerations



AS4970-2009 - Indicative Root System and Rhizosphere of a Healthy Tree.

- i. The main functions of roots include the uptake of water and nutrients, anchorage, storage of sugar reserves and the production of some plant hormones required by the shoots. For roots to function, they must be supplied with oxygen from the soil. The root system of trees consists of several 'types' of roots found in different parts of the soil and is generally much more extensive than commonly thought. The importance of roots is easily overlooked because they are not visible, that is 'out of sight, out of mind'. Damage to the root system is a common cause of tree decline and death and is the most common form of damage associated with development sites (Matheny et. al, 1998).
- ii. Root systems consist of three main parts: (Sutton and Tinus, 1983).
 - The structural woody roots (anchorage, storage and transport);
 - Lower order roots (anchorage, storage and transport); and
 - Non-woody roots (absorption of water and nutrients, extension, synthesis of amino acids and growth regulators) (please refer to Drawing above).

- iii. In addition to lateral root spread being underestimated, root depth in trees has also been grossly exaggerated. Deep root systems or taproots are the exception rather than the rule (Perry, 1982) (Watson and Neely, 1994).
- iv. Most roots of most trees are found in the very top of the soil. The vast majority of these roots are small non-woody absorbing roots which grow upward into the very surface layers of the soil and leaf litter. This delicate, non-woody system, because of its proximity to the surface, is very vulnerable to injury (Watson et. al, 2014).

12.2 Encroachment Descriptors

Tree Protection Zone (TPZ)

The TPZ is the optimal combination of crown and root area (as defined by AS 4970-2009) that requires protection during the construction process so that the tree can remain viable. The TPZ is an area that is isolated from the work zone to ensure no disturbance or encroachment occurs into this zone. Tree sensitive construction measures must be implemented if work is to proceed within the Tree Protection Zone.

Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) measured at 1.4m above ground level. DBH is the circumference divided by π . * Measurement taken by Standard issue DBH Tape.

Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) = DBH x 12 (The radius of the TPZ is calculated for each tree by multiplying its DBH x 12) Note: TPZ - minimum area is 2.0m / maximum area is 15m.

Please Note: The TPZ figure is expressed as a radius measurement which is to be taken from the centre of the stem at ground level and applied in an outwards direction towards the extremities of the branches for the entire circumference of the tree/s.

Structural Root Zone (SRZ)


The SRZ is the area of the root system (as defined by AS 4970-2009) used for stability, mechanical support and anchorage of the tree. Severance of structural roots (>50 mm in diameter) within the SRZ is not recommended as it may lead to the destabilisation and/or serious decline of the tree.

Root Investigation

When assessing the potential impacts of encroachment within the TPZ, consideration will need to be given to the location and distribution of the roots, including above or below ground restrictions affecting root growth. Location and distribution of roots may be determined through non-destructive excavation (NDE) methods such as air spade and manual excavation. Root investigation is used to determine the extent and location of roots within the zone of conflict. Root investigation does not guarantee the retention of the tree.

12.3 Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) & Structural Root Zone (SRZ).

The Australian Standard AS 4970-2009 - *Protection of trees on development sites* is used for the allocation of tree protection zones. This method provides a TPZ that addresses both tree stability and growth requirements. TPZ distances are measured as a radius from the centre of the trunk at ground level.




- AS4970-2009, s3: The radius of the TPZ is calculated for each tree by multiplying its Diameter @ Breast Height measured @ 1.4m from ground level ($DBH \times 12 = TPZ$). ($DBH = \text{Trunk Girth @ 1.4m} \div \pi$).
- To calculate the SRZ: Radius SRZ = Diameter Above Root Crown ($DRC \times 50$) $^{\wedge} 0.42 \times 0.64$. If the DRC is less than 0.15m the SRZ will be 1.5m.
- Note: A TPZ should not be less than 2m or more than 15m from the tree stem.

You do not need to calculate the TPZ of palms, cycads and tree ferns. For these plants, the TPZ should not be less than 1m outside the crown.

12.4 Compensation for Tree Protection Zone Encroachment

Encroachment into the Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) is sometimes unavoidable. The images above are analogous to the abovementioned works scenario and indicate how encroachment within the tree protection zone can be compensated for elsewhere per *AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites*.



12.5 Compensatory Replanting

- i. By definition Compensatory Replanting simply refers to the planting of trees or vegetation to offset or compensate for the loss of existing trees or vegetation. The key goals of Compensatory Replanting include:
- *Replace lost canopy cover* - Replanting helps restore the canopy coverage and its associated benefits such as shade-cast and urban heat island mitigation, oxygen production and carbon sequestration erosion control and stormwater reduction. (Tree species with the potential for large canopies are preferred where practicable).
 - *Offset loss of ecological services* - By replanting the same number or more trees than were removed, impacts to air and water quality, biodiversity, and other ecological services can be mitigated over time as the new trees develop. Native species suited to the local environment are ideal.
 - *Restore aesthetic appeal* - Where tree removal has significantly impacted neighbourhood aesthetics or property appeal, compensatory replanting helps re-green the area and return it to a naturalized state. Species selected should complement the surrounding landscape.
 - *Meet regulatory requirements* - Many towns, cities and developments have tree protection ordinances that require compensatory replanting when removing trees. Replanting ensures compliance with these local regulations and policies.

Key considerations for Compensatory Replanting regimes include:

- *Species selection* – The ‘best-suited’ tree for the planting opportunity. The consequence of this ‘right’ tree selection and its subsequent planting being a positive contribution to the community and a fundamental building block in the creation of a resilient urban forest. This in turn providing eco-services, enjoyment, and overall well-being for both present and future generations alike.
 - *Size of Plant Stock* - While smaller stock may establish more quickly, replanting with a larger, more advanced trees have instant impact and help offset the canopy lost from mature tree removals.
 - *Site Preparation* - Proper site preparation including soil assessment and amelioration will promote tree vitality and growth of newly planted trees.
 - *Plant Health Care Plan* – The adoption of a holistic Plant Health Care regimen post-planting, which includes cyclic inspections, pruning (remedial & formative), applications of organic botanicals, mulching and pest and disease management.
- i. Please note that Compensatory Replanting should not be exclusively relied upon to secure good tree outcomes. New plantings are costly, often fail and even with a robust Plant Health Care regimen a new planting takes on average 25yrs to match the benefits provided by an existing mature-age tree (Tree & Design Action Group, 2023). Case Studies have shown that tree retention is more desirable than Compensatory Replanting - simply because an existing tree is already established and therefore has the potential to become at minimum a ‘Medium’ retention tree. Ergo, even a tree with an adjudged ‘Low’ Retention Value should not be automatically discarded as it can often provide a better outcome than replanting - economically and/or ecologically (Miller, 1997). Therefore, prior to the removal of any tree all pragmatic alternatives should be candidly discussed and exhausted as they may provide a preferred alternative to all parties with standing. Some of these options follow.

12.6 Initial Non-Destructive Root Exploration & Root Mapping

- i. Non-Destructive Root Exploration (NDRE) or Root Mapping is the most reliable way to locate tree roots pre-development and therefore should always be implemented when a tree is to be retained and impending earthworks are to be undertaken within the TPZ. This NDRE should consist of Hand-digging and/or AirSpade under the guidance of the Project Arborist (Watson et. al. 2014).
- ii. NDRE will often be initiated with the excavation of a 'Slot-Trench' on the edge of the TPZ and/or the required excavation footprint. This will assist in determining the extent of the tree root architecture and provide accurate root location(s) along with additional morphological data. This objective root mapping data can then be utilised to explore and/or implement pragmatic tree sensitive design modifications regarding site-specific tree protection, tree retention and Plant Health Care regimens post development.
- iii. Please note that the Root Mapping findings will ultimately determine foreseeable tree viability and whether tree sensitive design modifications and/or tree removal will need to be undertaken on this Project. (All of the findings from the NDRE should be paroled by the appointed Project Arborist and made available to all parties with *locus standii* upon request. This in the form of a Root Mapping Report).

12.7 Tree Sensitive Urban Design (TSUD)

- i. A commonsensical approach with regards to tree retention and development should always be adopted. I.e., any excavations within the calculated TPZ of a retained tree should be initiated with NDRE; and where practicable should be limited in depth so as to not impact tree roots. However, if during the NDRE a 'significant root' (>30mm in diameter) is encountered candid tree sensitive design modifications will need to be discussed and/or incorporated into the project where reasonably practicable.
- ii. Tree Sensitive Urban Design (TSUD) for both new and existing trees simply aims to provide adequate space for desirable root growth, whilst safeguarding against infrastructure and root damage from potential conflict alike. Some of these proactive solutions include:
 - Sensitive Construction: Directional-drilling, Screw-Piling, Cantilevers, 'Build-outs' and 'Build-overs'.
 - Irrigation, Tree Root Trenches & Paths, Root Barrier, Root Deflectors and Root Directors and De-Compaction/Compaction to direct root growth.
 - Porous Permeable Pavers, Asphalt, Concrete and Resin Bound Aggregates.
 - Structural Confinement System installations with structural soil: Some of these include Natural Cell, Silva Cell, Strata Vault, Strata Pit, Geo Cell, and Terra Vault. In summary these cells can be installed in an urban scape to provide space for root growth limit soil and root compaction, whilst facilitating necessary infrastructure installations.
- iii. Please note that tree roots travel the 'path of least resistance' and like most living organisms require oxygen and water (an aerobic soil with good moisture levels). Therefore, one of the easiest techniques to keep tree roots from growing in unwanted areas is to remove these two essential elements by heavily compacting the soil. Alternatively, by providing ideal levels of these essential resources (water, friable aerobic soil, and organic nutrients), in an area away from infrastructure, tree roots can be encouraged to grow in that direction.

12.8 Descriptors: Age, Vitality & Structure

(Age Class relates to the ecophysiological stage of the trees life cycle – UK Veteran Trees & Forestry Commission)

TREE AGE CLASS

Life Cycle Stage	Category & Descriptor
Formative Stage	Young or Juvenile: Recently planted or approximately 1-7 years.
Maturing or Mature Stage	Semi-mature: Tree actively growing in size and yet to achieve the expected size <i>in situ</i> . Mature: Tree is approaching the expected size or has reached the expected size <i>in situ</i>
Senescent Stage	Over Mature: Tree is full-size and has started to decline (possible crown retrenchment)

TREE VITALITY

Good	Foliage of the tree is entire, with good colour, very little sign of pathogens and of good density. Growth indicators are good i.e., Extension growth of twigs and wound wood development. Minimal or no canopy dieback (deadwood).
Fair	Tree is showing one or more of the following symptoms: <25% dead wood, minor canopy dieback, foliage generally with good colour though some imperfections may be present. Minor pathogen damage present, with growth indicators such as leaf size, canopy density and twig extension growth typical for the species in this location.
Poor	Tree is showing one or more of the following symptoms of decline; >25% deadwood, canopy dieback is observable, discoloured or distorted leaves. Pathogens present, stress symptoms are observable as reduced leaf size, extension growth and canopy density.
Very Poor	The tree appears to be in a state of decline. The tree is not growing to its full capacity. The canopy may be very thin and sparse. A significant volume of deadwood may be present in the canopy and/or pest and disease problems may be causing a severe decline in tree vitality.
Serious Decline or Dead	Tree is in severe decline; >55% deadwood, very little foliage, possibly Epicormic shoots and minimal extension growth or the tree is completely dead and exhibits no new growth or live tissue.

**Please note that tree vitality cannot be measured directly, hence growth and physiological parameters that indicate tree vitality are used. Health or Vitality of a tree is evidenced by the general appearance of crown density, leaf colour, presence of epicormic shoots, ability to withstand disease invasion including pathogens and presence of dieback in crown at the time of inspection. Vigour may vary according to seasonal weather patterns and rainfall received (Dobbertin, 2005).*

***Tree Condition: The assessment of a tree(s) condition evaluates factors of tree vitality, form and structure. These descriptors of vitality, form and structure attributed to a tree evaluate the individual specimen to what could be reasonably considered by the arborist as typical for that species growing in situ. It is well documented that specific tree species can display inherently poor biomechanics, such as acute branch attachments with included bark, co-dominant leaders and other poor branch and root architecture. Whilst these 'structural defects' may be deemed arboriculturally flawed, they are typical for the species and may not constitute a foreseeable increased risk. These trees may be assigned a 'structural rating' of 'fair-poor' (as opposed to poor) at the arborist's discretion.*

TREE STRUCTURE


<p>Good: Trunk and scaffold branches show good taper and attachment with minor or no structural defects. Tree is a good example of species with well-developed form showing no obvious root problems or pests and diseases.</p>
<p>Fair/Fair-Poor: Tree shows minor structural defects or minor damage to trunk e.g., bark missing, there could be cavities present. Minimal damage to structural roots. Tree could be seen as typical for this species.</p>
<p>Poor/Very Poor: There are major structural defects, damage to trunk or bark missing. Co-dominant stems could be present with likely points of failure. Girdling or damaged roots obvious. Tree is structurally problematic.</p>
<p>Hazardous: Tree is immediate hazard with potential to fail, this should be rectified as soon as possible.</p>

Tree Structure Matrix

Descriptor	Zone 1 - Root plate & lower stem	Zone 2 - Trunk	Zone 3 - Primary branch support	Zone 4 - Outer crown and roots
Good	No damage, disease or decay; obvious basal flare / stable in ground	No damage, disease or decay; well tapered	Well formed, attached, spaced and tapered	No damage, disease, decay or structural defect
Fair	Minor damage or decay. Basal flare present.	Minor damage or decay	Typically formed, attached, spaced and tapered	Minor damage, disease or decay; minor branch end-weight or over-extension
Fair to Poor	Moderate damage or decay; minimal basal flare	Moderate damage or decay; approaching recognised thresholds	Weak, decayed or with acute branch attachments; previous branch failure evidence	Moderate damage, disease or decay; moderate branch end-weight or over-extension
Poor	Major damage, disease or decay; fungal fruiting bodies present. Excessive lean placing pressure on root plate	Major damage, disease or decay; exceeds recognised thresholds; fungal fruiting bodies present. Acute lean. Stump resprout	Decayed, cavities or has acute branch attachments with included bark; excessive compression flaring; failure likely	Major damage, disease or decay; fungal fruiting bodies present; major branch end-weight or over-extension
Very Poor	Excessive damage, disease or decay; unstable / loose in ground; altered exposure; failure probable	Excessive damage, disease or decay; cavities. Excessive lean. Stump resprout	Decayed, cavities or branch attachments with active split; failure imminent	Excessive damage, disease or decay; excessive branch end-weight or over-extension

Diagram 2: Tree structure zones

1. Root plate & lower stem
2. Trunk
3. Primary branch support
4. Outer crown & roots



Structure ratings will also take into account general tree architecture which considers aspects of stem taper, live crown ratio, branch distribution or crown bias and position such as a tree being suppressed amongst more dominant trees.

12.9 Descriptors: Useful Life Expectancy (ULE)

The ULE is adapted from (*Barrell, 2001*). The objective of a ULE assessment is to determine the relative value of individual trees for the purpose of informing future management options.

Useful Life Expectancy – Assessment Criteria			
Dead / Serious Decline	Short	Medium	Long
<p>Trees with a high level of risk that would need removing within the next 5 years.</p> <p>Dead trees.</p> <p>Trees that should be removed within the next 5 years.</p> <p>Dying or suppressed or declining trees through disease or inhospitable conditions.</p> <p>Dangerous trees through instability or recent loss of adjacent trees.</p> <p>Dangerous trees through structural defects including cavities, decay, included bark, wounds or poor form.</p> <p>Damaged trees that considered unsafe to retain.</p> <p>Trees that could live for more than 5 years but may be removed to prevent interference with more suitable individuals or to provide space for new planting.</p> <p>Trees that will become dangerous after removal of other trees for the reasons.</p>	<p>Trees that appear to be retainable with an acceptable level of risk for 5-15 years.</p> <p>Trees that may only live between 5 and 15 more years.</p> <p>Trees that may live for more than 15 years but would be removed to allow the safe development of more suitable individuals.</p> <p>Trees that may live for more than 15 years but would be removed during the course of normal management for safety or nuisance reasons.</p> <p>Storm damaged or defective trees that require substantial remedial work to make safe and are only suitable for retention in the short term.</p>	<p>Trees that appear to be retainable with an acceptable level of risk for 15-40 years.</p> <p>Trees that may only live between 15 and 40 more years.</p> <p>Trees that may live for more than 40 years but would be removed to allow the safe development of more suitable individuals.</p> <p>Trees that may live for more than 40 years but would be removed during the course of normal management for safety or nuisance reasons.</p> <p>Storm damaged or defective trees that require substantial remedial work to make safe and are only suitable for retention in the short term.</p>	<p>Trees that appear to be retainable with an acceptable level of risk for more than 40 years.</p> <p>Structurally sound trees located in positions that can accommodate future growth.</p> <p>Storm damaged or defective trees that could be made suitable for retention in the long term by remedial tree surgery.</p> <p>Trees of special significance for historical, commemorative or rarity reasons that would warrant extraordinary efforts to secure their long-term retention</p>

Additional Useful Life Expectancy indicia

- i. The Useful Life Expectancy (ULE) is an estimate of how long a tree is likely to be viable in the landscape based on its current health, vitality, amenity, environmental services contribution, and risk to the community. Visual dendrological cues as listed below can assist in this determination.

Useful Life Expectancy	Typical Characteristics
<i>< 1 year</i>	Tree may be dead or mostly dead. Tree may exhibit major structural faults and/or may pose an imminent failure hazard.
<i>1-5 years</i>	Tree is exhibiting severe chronic decline. Crown is likely to be less than 50% typical density. Crown may be mostly epicormic growth. Dieback of large limbs is common (stag-horn).
<i>6-15 years</i>	Tree is exhibiting chronic decline. Crown density will be less than typical and epicormic growth is likely to present. The crown may still be mostly entire, but some dieback is likely to be evident. Dieback may include large limbs.
<i>16-20 years</i>	Tree is not showing symptoms of chronic decline, but growth characteristics are likely to be reduced (bud development, extension growth etc.). Tree may be over-mature and senescing.
<i>21-30 years</i>	Tree is displaying normal growth characteristics. However, it may be growing in a restricted environment (i.e., Streetscapes) or may be in late maturity.
<i>31-60 years</i>	Tree is exhibiting normal growth characteristics. Juvenile trees in streetscapes.
<i>61+ years</i>	Tree is exhibiting normal growth characteristics and is growing in an unfettered a Park or Open greenspace environment.

IACA Significance of Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS)

Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturists: Significance of a Tree Assessment Rating System (STARS)		
<i>The tree is to have a minimum of three (3) criteria in a category to be classified in that group</i>		
<u>Low</u>	<u>Medium</u>	<u>High</u>
<p>The tree is in fair-poor condition and good or low vigour.</p> <p>The tree has form atypical of the species.</p> <p>The tree is not visible or is partly visible from the surrounding properties or obstructed by other vegetation or buildings.</p> <p>The tree provides a minor contribution or has a negative impact on the visual character and amenity of the local area.</p> <p>The tree is a young specimen which may or may not have reached dimensions to be protected by local Tree Preservation Orders or similar protection mechanisms and can easily be replaced with a suitable specimen.</p> <p>The tree's growth is severely restricted by above or below ground influences, unlikely to reach dimensions typical for the taxa in situ – tree is inappropriate to the site conditions.</p> <p>The tree is listed as exempt under the provisions of the local Council Tree Preservation Order or similar protection mechanisms.</p> <p>The tree has a wound or defect that has the potential to become structurally unsound.</p>	<p>The tree is in fair to good condition.</p> <p>The tree has form typical or atypical of the species.</p> <p>The tree is a planted locally indigenous or a common species with its taxa commonly planted in the local area.</p> <p>The tree is visible from surrounding properties, although not visually prominent as partially obstructed by other vegetation or buildings when viewed from the street.</p> <p>The tree provides a fair contribution to the visual character and amenity of the local area.</p> <p>The tree's growth is Mediumly restricted by above or below ground influences, reducing its ability to reach dimensions typical for the taxa in situ.</p>	<p>The tree is in good condition and good vigour.</p> <p>The tree has a form typical for the species.</p> <p>The tree is a remnant or is a planted locally indigenous specimen and/or is rare or uncommon in the local area or of botanical interest or of substantial age.</p> <p>The tree is listed as a heritage item, threatened species or part of an endangered ecological community or listed on councils' significant/notable tree register.</p> <p>The tree is visually prominent and visible from a considerable distance when viewed from most directions within the landscape due to its size and scale and makes a positive contribution to the local amenity.</p> <p>The tree supports social and cultural sentiments or spiritual associations, reflected by the broader population or community group or has commemorative values.</p> <p>The tree's growth is unrestricted by above and below ground influences, supporting its ability to reach dimensions typical for the taxa in situ – tree is appropriate to the site conditions.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Remove</u></p> <p><u>ENVIRONMENTAL PEST/NOXIOUS WEED</u></p> <p>The tree is a listed environmental weed species. This due to invasiveness or its poisonous/allergenic properties/ declared noxious weed.</p> <p><u>HAZRADOUS / IRREVERSIBLE DECLINE</u></p> <p>The tree is structurally unsound unstable and considered potentially dangerous. The tree is dead or in irreversible decline with the potential to fail/collapse.</p>		

Additional Landscape Significance Considerations


CATERGORY	HERITAGE VALUE	ECOLOGICAL VALUE	AMENITY VALUE
SIGNIFICANT	<p>*The subject site is listed as a Heritage Item at a local, state or National level of significance or is listed as a Significant/Notable tree.</p> <p>* The subject tree is a Commemorative Planting having been planted by an important historical person(s) or to commemorate an important historical event.</p>	<p>*The subject tree is scheduled as a 'Threatened Species' as defined under the Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016.</p> <p>*The tree is a locally indigenous species, representative of the original vegetation of the area and is known as an important food, shelter or nesting tree for endangered or threatened fauna.</p> <p>*The subject tree is a Remnant Tree, being a tree in existence prior to development of the area.</p>	<p>*The subject tree has a very large live crown size exceeding 100m² with normal to dense foliage cover, is located in a prominent position in the landscape, and exhibits very good form typical of the species.</p> <p>*The subject tree makes a significant contribution to the amenity & visual character of the area by creating a sense of identity.</p> <p>*The tree is visually prominent in view from surrounding areas, being a landmark or visible from a considerable distance.</p>
HIGH	<p>The tree has a strong historical association with a Heritage Item (building/structure/etc) within or adjacent the property and/or exemplifies a particular era or style of landscape design associated with the original development of the site.</p>	<p>The tree is a locally indigenous species, representative of the original vegetation of the area and is a dominant or associated canopy species of an Endangered Ecological Community formerly occurring in the area occupied by the site.</p>	<p>The subject tree has a very large live crown exceeding 60m²; crown density exceeding 70%, very good representative of the species in terms of form & branching habit, is aesthetically distinctive & makes a positive contribution to the visual character & the amenity of value of the area.</p>
MODERATE	<p>The tree has a suspected historical association with a heritage item or landscape supported by anecdotal or visual evidence.</p>	<p>The tree is a locally indigenous species & representative of the original vegetation of the area & the tree is located within a defined Vegetation Link/Wildlife Corridor or has well known habitat value.</p>	<p>A good representative of the species in terms of form & branching habit with minor deviations from normal. Crown density at least 70% (normal); the tree is visible from the street and/or surrounding properties & makes a positive contribution to the visual amenity of the area.</p>
LOW	<p>The subject tree detracts from Heritage values or diminishes the value of a Heritage Item.</p>	<p>The subject tree is possibly scheduled as exempt under the provisions of this Development Control Plan due to its species, or tree can be a nuisance or its position problematic – relative to buildings or other structures.</p>	<p>The subject tree has a small live crown size of less than 25m² & can be replaced within the short-term (5-10yrs) with new planting.</p>
VERY LOW	<p>The subject tree is causing damage to a Heritage Item.</p>	<p>The subject tree is listed as an Environmental Weed Species in the Local Government Area, being invasive, or is a known nuisance species.</p>	<p>The subject tree is not visible from surrounding properties & has a negative impact on the amenity & visual character of the area. The tree is a poor representative of the species, showing significant deviations from the typical form & branching habit with a crown density of less than 50%.</p>

(STARS) Tree Retention Value - Priority Matrix

Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS), Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturists, Australia 2010.

		Landscape Significance				
		1.High	2.Medium	3.Low		
		Significance in Landscape	Significance in Landscape	Significance in Landscape	Environmental Pest/Noxious Weed Species	Hazardous / Irreversible Decline
Useful Life Expectancy	1.Long >40 Years	Blue	Green	Yellow	Orange	
	2.Medium 15-40 Years					
	3.Short <1-15 Years	Yellow				
	Dead					
		<p>Priority for Retention (High) - These trees are considered important for retention and should be retained and protected. Design modification or re-location of building/s should be considered to accommodate the setbacks as prescribed by the Australian Standard <i>AS 4970 Protection of trees on development sites</i>. Tree sensitive construction measures must be implemented (pier and beam cantilever, Structural Confinement Cells etc if works are to proceed within the TPZ).</p> <p>Consider for Retention (Medium) - These trees may be retained and protected. These are considered less critical; however, their retention should remain priority with removal considered only if adversely affecting the proposed building/works and all other alternatives have been considered and exhausted.</p> <p>Consider for Removal (Low) - These trees are not considered important for retention, nor require special works or design modification to be implemented for their retention.</p> <p>Priority for Removal - These trees are considered hazardous, or in irreversible decline, or weeds and should be removed irrespective of development.</p>				

12.10 Proposed Design Plans



12.11 Assumptions and Limiting Conditions

- 1) Active Green Services Pty Ltd (herein after referred to as AGS) contracts with you on the basis that you promise that all legal information which you provide, including land title and ownership of other property, are correct. AGS is not responsible for verifying or ascertaining any of these issues.
- 2) AGS contracts with you on the basis that your promise that all affected property complies with all applicable statutes and subordinate legislation.
- 3) AGS will take all reasonable care to obtain necessary information from reliable sources and to verify data. However, AGS neither guarantees nor is responsible for the accuracy of information provided by others.
- 4) If, after delivery of this report, you later require a representative of AGS to attend court to give evidence or to assist in the preparation for a hearing because of this report, you must pay an additional hourly fee at our then current rate for expert evidence.
- 5) Alteration of this report invalidates the entire report.
- 6) AGS retains the copyright in this report. Possession of the original or a copy of this report does not give you or anyone else any right of reproduction, publication or use without the written permission of AGS.
- 7) The contents of this report represent the professional opinion of the consultant. AGS consultancy fee for the preparation of this report is in no way contingent upon the consultant reporting a particular conclusion of fact, nor upon the occurrence of a subsequent event.
- 8) Sketches, diagrams, graphs and photographs in this report are intended as visual aids, are not to scale unless stated to be so, and must not be construed as engineering or architectural reports or as surveys.
- 9) Unless expressly stated otherwise:
 - a. The information in this report covers only those items which were examined and reflects the condition of those items at the time of the inspection.
 - b. Our inspection is limited to visual examination of accessible components without dissection, excavation or probing. There is no warranty or guarantee, express or implied, that even if they were not present during our inspection, problems or defects in plants or property examined may not arise in the future.
- 10) This Report supersedes all prior discussions and representations between AGS and the client on the subject.

12.12 AGS Quality Control

Document control

File reference	File type	Modifications	Date
JN 145973	AR	Original document	02/10/2023

Documents reviewed.

Date	Title	Author	Company
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Communication register

Date	Type	From	To	Description
02/10/2024	PDF	AGS	Turner & Townsend	Arboricultural Impact Assessment

Review register

Date	File reference	Reviewer	Qualification	Company
02/10/2024	JN145973	A.Dandan	Dip of Arb (AQF 5)	Active Green Services