Royal Prince Alfred Hospital Redevelopment (RPAH Redevelopment)

Construction Noise and Vibration Monitoring Report 20

Client Doc. No. RPA-ACO-ACL-RPT-MW-000023 - Rev A

Project ID	20230239.17
Document Title	Construction Noise and Vibration Monitoring Report
Attention To	CPB Contractors Pty Limited

Revision	Date	Document Reference	Prepared By	Checked By	Approved By
0	30/09/2024	20230239.17/3009A/R0/SJ	SJ		RF

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTROE	DUCTION		4
2		SCRIPTION		
3		AND VIBRATION MANAGEMENT LEVELS		6
3		ISE MANAGEMENT LEVELS		
_		OJECT VIBRATION CRITERIA		
4		ORING EQUIPMENT AND LOCATIONS		7
4		ISE MONITORING EQUIPMENT AND LOCATIONS		
4	4.2 VIB	RATION MONITORING EQUIPMENT AND LOCATIONS	8	
		S		9
		ISE MONITOING RESULTS DISCUSSION		
5	5.2 VIB	RATION MONITORING RESULTS DISCUSSION	10	
	5.2.1	Centenary Institute:		
	5.2.2	Charles Perkins Centre:		
	5.2.3	RPA Hospital Main Building:		
	5.2.4	Susan Wakil Health Building:		
6		USION		
		A – NOISE MONITORING RESULTS		.15
		RY INSTITUTE – LEVEL 4 SURGERY ROOM (SOUTHERN FAÇADE)		
		PITAL MAIN BUILDING – LEVEL 3 NICU		
		SUSAN WAKIL HEALTH BUILDING		
		B – VIBRATION MONITORING RESULTS	•••••	.18
		RY INSTITUTE – LEVEL 3 FISH TANKS		
		RY INSTITUTE – LEVEL 3 FISH TANKS RY INSTITUTE – LEVEL 4 SURGERY ROOM (SOUTHERN FAÇADE)	19	
C	CENTENA	RY INSTITUTE – LEVEL 3 FISH TANKS RY INSTITUTE – LEVEL 4 SURGERY ROOM (SOUTHERN FAÇADE) RY INSTITUTE – LEVEL 4 BATHROOM (NORTHERN FAÇADE)	19 20	
	CENTENA CENTENA	RY INSTITUTE – LEVEL 3 FISH TANKS RY INSTITUTE – LEVEL 4 SURGERY ROOM (SOUTHERN FAÇADE) RY INSTITUTE – LEVEL 4 BATHROOM (NORTHERN FAÇADE) RY INSTITUTE – LEVEL 4 CLEAN CHANGEROOM (SOUTHERN FAÇADE)	19 20 21	
	CENTENA CENTENA CHARLES	RY INSTITUTE – LEVEL 3 FISH TANKS RY INSTITUTE – LEVEL 4 SURGERY ROOM (SOUTHERN FAÇADE) RY INSTITUTE – LEVEL 4 BATHROOM (NORTHERN FAÇADE) RY INSTITUTE – LEVEL 4 CLEAN CHANGEROOM (SOUTHERN FAÇADE) PERKINS CENTRE – LEVEL B1 SOUTHERN CORRIDOR	19 20 21 22	
	CENTENA CENTENA CHARLES CHARLES	RY INSTITUTE – LEVEL 3 FISH TANKS RY INSTITUTE – LEVEL 4 SURGERY ROOM (SOUTHERN FAÇADE) RY INSTITUTE – LEVEL 4 BATHROOM (NORTHERN FAÇADE) RY INSTITUTE – LEVEL 4 CLEAN CHANGEROOM (SOUTHERN FAÇADE) PERKINS CENTRE – LEVEL B1 SOUTHERN CORRIDOR PERKINS CENTRE – LEVEL B1 SOUTHERN WING OBSERVATION ROOM COR	19 20 21 22 RIDOR 23	
0 0 0 0 F	CENTENA CENTENA CHARLES CHARLES RPA HOSI	RY INSTITUTE – LEVEL 3 FISH TANKS RY INSTITUTE – LEVEL 4 SURGERY ROOM (SOUTHERN FAÇADE) RY INSTITUTE – LEVEL 4 BATHROOM (NORTHERN FAÇADE) RY INSTITUTE – LEVEL 4 CLEAN CHANGEROOM (SOUTHERN FAÇADE) PERKINS CENTRE – LEVEL B1 SOUTHERN CORRIDOR		

1 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of the noise and vibration monitoring conducted by Acoustic Logic during the site establishment works for the RPA Hospital redevelopment, located at 50 Missenden Road, Camperdown. Details presented in this report include monitoring locations, relevant noise and vibration objectives, measured noise and vibration levels over the presented monitoring period and a discussion of results where applicable.

This report covers the twentieth fortnight since the beginning of construction monitoring, being between Monday 2nd September, 2024 and Sunday 15th September, 2024.

Unattended noise and vibration monitoring has been undertaken to satisfy the requirements of Condition B26 of SSD-47662959's Development Consent, in conjunction with the noise and vibration management levels established within the *Early Works Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan*, prepared by this office, and as they are so updated throughout the construction process where necessitated (Ref: 20230239.9/0610A/R1/LA). Condition B26 of SSD-47662959's Development Consent is provided below for reference:

"Environmental Management Plan Requirements

B26. Management plans required under this consent must be prepared having regard to the relevant guidelines, including but not limited to the Environmental Management Plan Guideline: Guideline for Infrastructure Projects (DPIE April 2020).

Notes:

The Environmental Management Plan Guideline is available on the Planning Portal at: https://www.planningportal.nsw.gov.au/major-projects/assessment/post-approval.

The Planning Secretary may waive some of these requirements if they are unnecessary or unwarranted for particular management plans."

2 SITE DESCRIPTION

The site is maintained on Lot 1000 DP 1159799, and is bound by the existing operational RPA Hospital to the west, the Centenary Institute to the north, and University of Sydney's Bruce William Pavilion and Susan Wakil Health Building to the east and south respectively. The site is surrounded by various residential, commercial, hospital, university, research and active recreation sensitive receivers generally.

The works maintained within Early Works and Site Establishment pertain specifically to works along Lambie Dew Drive and John Hopkins Drive.

The surrounding affected sensitive receivers that are investigated within the contents of this monitoring assessment are as presented below:

ID No.	Receiver Description	Receiver Category	
H1	RPA Hospital Main Building	Hospital	
Re1	Centenary Institute Research Facilities		
E1	CreateSpace and Susan Wakil Health Building	F 1:	
E2	Charles Perkins Centre	Education	

Table 1 – Surrounding Sensitive Receivers

See an aerial photo in Figure 1 below for detailed receiver locations.




Figure 1: Aerial Site Map with Nearest Sensitive Receivers (Sourced from Sixmaps)

3 NOISE AND VIBRATION MANAGEMENT LEVELS

The following section details the relevant construction noise and vibration requirements assessed throughout the monitoring period.

3.1 NOISE MANAGEMENT LEVELS

Noise Management levels relevant to the contents of this report are summarised in the table below, as provided within the *Early Works Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan*, prepared for the project by this office (Ref: 20230239.9/0610A/R1/LA). Note that based upon measurements and discussions with relevant stakeholders on 12/03/2024, the Noise Management Level for the Animal Housing, Breeding and Observation Rooms within Centenary Institute will be reduced to 62dB(A) $L_{eq(15 min)}$, and this will be adopted within this report, as well as further subsequent assessments:

Table 2 – Noise Management Le	/els
-	

Receiver	Room Usage	Noise Management Level dB(A) L _{eq(15min)}
H1	NICU	60 (Internally)
E1 and E2	All	45 (Internally)
D1	Animal Housing / Breeding / Observation Rooms	62 (Internally)
R1	Rat Operating Room	48 (Internally)

3.2 PROJECT VIBRATION CRITERIA

Relevant project vibration criteria to the contents of this report are provided within the table below. Vibration criteria presented for spaces within Re1 and E2 have been updated based upon the conclusion of the "Baseline Monitoring Results," report, as well as the "Construction Noise and Vibration Monitoring Report 1," both of which were prepared by this office for the project (Ref: 20230239.17/0412A/R1/LA and 20230239.17/2301A/R0/LA). Supplementarily, further correspondence between Charles Perkins Centre and the project team on 20/02/2024 has resulted in the reduction of criteria within the animal spaces on B2 to VC-A criteria, and this is reflected within the table below and has been in effect through this monitoring period:

Receiver	Location Vibration Criteria (
D-1	L3 Fish Tank Room	400 µms ⁻¹ Peak Particle Velocity
Re1 Centenary Institute	L4 – Animal Behaviour / Holding / Breeding Rooms	VC-A (ASHRAE Handbook) (50 µms ⁻¹) RMS Velocity
E1 Createspace and Susan Wakil Health Building	All spaces	DIN 4150-3 Type 1 Criteria (20,000 µms ⁻¹ / 20mms ⁻¹) Peak Particle Velocity
E2	Imaging Equipment (Southern Wing Corridor)	VC-B (ASHRAE Handbook) (25 µms ⁻¹) RMS Velocity
Charles Perkins Centre	Animal Behaviour / Holding / Breeding Rooms	VC-A (ASHRAE Handbook) (50 µms ⁻¹) RMS Velocity
H1 RPA Hospital Main Building	Operating Theatres (Level 3)	100 µms⁻¹ RMS Velocity

Table 3 – Summarised Proposed Project Vibration Limits

4 MONITORING EQUIPMENT AND LOCATIONS

4.1 NOISE MONITORING EQUIPMENT AND LOCATIONS

Unattended noise monitoring was conducting using Acoustic Research Laboratories Pty Ltd noise loggers. The loggers were programmed to store 15-minute statistical noise levels throughout the monitoring period. The equipment was calibrated at the beginning and the end of each measurement using a Rion NC-73 calibrator; no significant drift was detected. All measurements were taken on A-weighted fast response mode.

Three individual noise monitors have been installed surrounding the site at the following locations:

- Centenary Institute Level 4 Surgery (Southern Façade).
- RPA Hospital Main Building Level 03 NICU.
- Outside Susan Wakil Health Building, on grade.

Please refer to Figure 1 for detailed monitoring locations. Appendix C provides photos of the monitors installed at the project site.

4.2 VIBRATION MONITORING EQUIPMENT AND LOCATIONS

Vibration monitoring was conducted using either Texcel ETM vibration monitors with external Tri-axial Geophones, or Bruel and Kjaer Type 4450 vibration monitors.

Three Texcel ETM monitors have been placed surrounding the site at the following locations:

- Centenary Institute Level 3, Fish Tanks.
- Charles Perkins Centre Level B1, Southern Wing Observation Room E (Note that this monitor has been
 installed at this location to send alert messages at 100 µms⁻¹ PPV vibration events, due to the limited
 reception achieved within the B2 area from the Bruel and Kjaer Type 4450 monitor installed to assess
 vibration impacts with respect to the VC-A vibration criteria curve within the animal holding area.
- Outside Susan Wakil Health Building, on grade.

Additionally, six Bruel and Kjaer Type 4450 Vibration monitors have been installed surrounding the site at the following locations:

- Centenary Institute:
 - Level 4 Surgery (Southern Façade).
 - Level 4 Change Rooms (Northern Façade)
 - o Level 4 South-eastern Experimentation Room.
- Charles Perkins Centre:
 - Level B1, Southern Wing Corridor.
 - \circ $\:$ Level B1, Southern Wing Observation Room E
- RPA Hospital Main Building Level 3 NICU.

Please refer to Figure 1 for detailed monitoring locations.

5 RESULTS

Appendix A presents the results of the noise monitoring, whilst Appendix B presents the results of the vibration monitoring where exceedances occurred during the monitoring period as presented within the contents of this report.

A discussion pertaining the findings of the noise and vibration monitoring undertaken during this monitoring period is provided within the proceeding sections.

5.1 NOISE MONITOING RESULTS DISCUSSION

Noise monitoring conducted throughout the monitoring period shows general adherence to the noise management levels provided within Section 3 of this letter.

For the Holding, Breeding and Observation Rooms

- Noise levels were observed to be measured marginally (≤3 dB) above the NML within the holding/breeding/observation room on the following dates:
 - o 04/09/2024
 - o **05/09/2024**
 - o 10/09/2024
- Noise levels were above the NML for longer than one 15-minute period on the 10th September only.
- For all other days noise levels were not above the NML for more then one 15 minute period in a row and hence it is likely that these events were caused by internal operational activity within the space, as opposed to construction works, noting that construction works are typically continuous in nature.
- All other measured levels above the NML have been found to occur outside of construction hours.
- Ongoing monitoring to continue within the holding/breeding/observation spaces.

For RPAH Main Building L03 NICU

- The monitor is located underneath a benchtop and against two individual walls within the NICU area on Level 03 of the hospital main building. Due to the reflections experienced at the monitoring location due to this, a 5dB correction has been conservatively applied to the noise levels measured at the monitoring station.
- Noise levels were observed to be measured above the NML within the NICU space on the following dates:
 - o 02/09/2024
 - o 03/09/2024
 - o 07/09/2024
 - o 09/09/2024

- Exceedances of the NML on the 4th September were outside of construction hours.
- Noise levels within construction hours were never observed to be above the NML for longer than one 15-minute period, and hence it is likely that these events were caused by internal operational activity within the space, as opposed to construction works, noting that construction works are typically continuous in nature.
- The maximum exceedance of the NML was 4 dB, with the vast majority of exceedances being minor 3 dB or less exceedances above the NML.
- Ongoing monitoring to continue within the NICU space.

For the Susan Wakil Health Building

- Of the data collated during the monitoring period presented within the report, noise levels measured at the monitoring station were found to be above the Susan Wakil NML on the following dates:
 - o 03/09/2024
 - o **10/09/2024**
- Noise levels on the 8th September were on a Sunday at 6:30am, and so are not associated with construction works.
- Three fifteen-minute periods were above the NML on the 10th September, however 2 of these periods were outside of typical construction hours.
- As such, noise levels within construction hours were never observed to be above the NML for longer than one 15-minute period on any given day.
- Noise levels above the NML within construction hours were within 5 dB of the NML.
- Noise levels impacting Susan Wakil will be continued to be monitored throughout the early works construction to assess the impact to this receiver.

5.2 VIBRATION MONITORING RESULTS DISCUSSION

With regards to the vibration measured vibration levels during the monitoring period, we note the following:

- Note that the graphs presented within the Appendix of this document show the maximum recorded velocity for each individual frequency within a given day's monitoring period.
- Data has only been provided for days in which exceedances attributed to vibration works have been experienced at the monitoring station.
- The following section provides discussion pertaining to the measured exceedances observed throughout the period at individual monitoring locations:

5.2.1 Centenary Institute:

- Level 1 Laser Imaging Room (Electrical Cupboard):
 - Precision imaging equipment such as the laser scanning apparatus investigated by this monitoring station are impacted by vibration through impacts on output results.
 - This would hence be observed by operators of the equipment, whereby the system would not be operating correctly/results of the system would be impacted.
 - To the knowledge of this office, no impacts on the results output of the equipment have been reported by Centenary Institute throughout the early works construction period.

- Further, and based upon onsite inspections and testing, AL note that the Laser room is subject to various sources of ambient vibration from the operation of the facility which contribute to the levels measured during construction, inclusive of refrigerant plant maintained within the basement of the facility.
- Where any changes to the operation / results of the laser scanning apparatus are observed by the operators of the equipment, this is to be relayed to this office for investigation and alignment with construction activity to appropriately assess and mitigate impacts.
- Level 3 Fish Tanks:
 - One minor exceedance (<15%) on the 2nd September was measured at the Level 3 Fish Tank monitor at approximately 4:45PM. This exceedance occurred at 96.5 Hz.
 - Due to the singular exceedance frequency being well above 80 Hz it is unlikely this exceedance was due to construction.
- Level 4 Surgery Room (Southern Façade), Clean Changeroom (Southern Façade) and Bathroom (Northern Façade):
 - Both the Changeroom and Surgery Room monitor (Southern Façade) recorded minor exceedances on the 7th September 2024 outside of construction hours.
 - The Surgery room recorded minor maximum exceedances of the criteria of 15% or less during construction hours on the 5th and 10th September.
 - The Clean Changeroom measured a maximum exceedance of the criteria of 36% at approximately 7:50am on the 2nd September 2024.
 - The Northern Bathroom monitor recorded maximum exceedances of the criteria during construction hours of less then 10% on the 12th and 15th September.
 - Generally, of the measured exceedance events within the monitoring period from any of the monitoring stations on the L4 floorplate, many of these measured exceedances for the period would be considered marginal exceedances (<20% of applicable criteria.)
 - The maximum recorded result by any of the monitors on the floorplate during the period was 310 ums⁻¹, measured in the Southern Bathroom on the 7/09/2024. This exceedance occurred outside of standard construction hours and hence is expected to be non-construction related.
 - Individual incidences of isolated exceedances measured by singular monitors have been attributed to internal operation within the CI building, due to the high occurrence of the measurements not being characteristic of other exceedance events, and the event not being measured by any of the other monitoring stations on the floorplate at the same time.

5.2.2 Charles Perkins Centre:

- Southern Corridor (Imaging):
 - Exceedances of B2 VC-B criteria which display characteristics consistent with construction activity were observed at the monitoring station on all dates over the monitoring period.
 - Exceedances are generally within 30% of the conservative VC-B criteria.
 - The maximum level measured during the monitoring period was 120µms⁻¹ on 03/09/2024.
 - Exceedances are generally observed at 12.5Hz, likely to be the natural frequency of the CPC suspended slab. Exceedances at frequencies exclusive of 12.5Hz, 16Hz and 20Hz are rarely observed.
 - With regards to the monitoring period within the CPC Basement, we note the following:
 - Exceedances throughout the monitoring period may have been associated with the use of the excavator.
 - Previous site investigation has determined that exceedances throughout the monitoring period were associated with the use of tracking excavators along Lambie Dew Drive adjacent to CPC.
 - With respect to hammering, the attachment size of the hammer has been reduced where practical in order to reduce the overall force impact of the works, reducing the level and frequency of exceedances observed from the use of this item throughout the monitoring period.
 - When comparing the results of the B2 monitoring location with other surrounding monitors, we note that the B2 monitor is located on a suspended slab, and hence it is possible that significant amplification of the vibration impacts from these works is occurring and resulting in exceedances at the monitoring location.
 - For many of the measured exceedances there is a lack of correlation between the southern corridor monitor and the other monitoring stations surrounding the project site. Therefore, it is likely that some or most of these spikes have been caused by factors exclusive of the construction activity within the RPA Hospital project area.
 - It is prudent to note that CPC is undergoing a façade refurbishment exclusive to the scope of the construction activity assessed within this report, and it is likely that these works attributed to some of the exceedances observed throughout the period.
 - It is also prudent to note that there were significant exceedances observed within the monitoring period which were outside of hours of works throughout the period, noting that various repeated exceedances were observed after 5.00pm and on weekend days during the period. These events have not been attributed to the impacts of the construction.

- Observation Room E (Adjoining corridor):
 - An exceedance of the PPV trigger level of the Texcel monitor within the animal testing facility was observed on the following dates:
 - 03/09/2024
 - 13/09/2024
 - The more sensitive BnK monitor located within the observation room E corridor did not detect these events.
 - This is not indicative of construction vibration, noting that construction-borne vibration would be expected to impact the monitors equally and similar results would be measured.
 - As the Texcel monitor is operating at it's floor of measurement, it is possible that a small
 perturbation measured by both of the monitors was artificially inflated by the Texcel
 monitor due to it's relatively lower accuracy and the relatively low vibration levels
 measured.
 - Alternatively, this may have been caused by operational activity within the facility such as a step or a trolley movement, which occurred closer to the texcel monitor however did not register an exceedance at the B & K monitor.
 - Also, the measured exceedances were recorded at frequencies which do not align with the resonant frequency response frequency for the animal's abdomen (27-29Hz), and hence the impacts of these measured values would be less perceivable to the animals than compared with measured values at frequencies closer to those listed above.
 - Exclusive of the above, there were no further exceedances measured throughout the monitoring period.

5.2.3 RPA Hospital Main Building:

- Level 03 NICU:
 - Minor exceedances (35% or less) over the NICU 100µms⁻¹ criteria were measured on the 11/09/2024, 12/09/2024 and 13/09/2024.
 - No further exceedances were measured throughout the monitoring period.
 - Impacts of vibration will continue to be monitored within the L3 NICU floorplate to ensure vibration levels are within satisfactory limits.

5.2.4 Susan Wakil Health Building:

 No exceedances of criteria were observed due to construction works during the monitoring period.

6 CONCLUSION

Noise and vibration monitoring has been conducted by Acoustic Logic for the Early Works being undertaken for SSD-47662959, the RPA Hospital Redevelopment, located at 50 Missenden Road, Camperdown.

This report covers the twentieth fortnight since the beginning of construction monitoring, being between Monday 2nd September 2024 and Sunday 15th September 2024.

Monitoring results have been provided with reference to the Noise and Vibration Management Levels established within the *Early Works Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan*, prepared by this office, or as they have been updated throughout the construction process, specifically pertaining to the recommendations of the *Baseline Monitoring Results* and *Construction Noise and Vibration Monitoring Report 1*, both also prepared by this office (Ref: 20230239.9/0610A/R1/LA, 20230239.17/0412A/R1/LA and 20230239.17/2301A/R0/LA).

Noise monitoring results have been provided within Appendix A, whilst vibration monitoring results have been provided throughout Appendix B of this letter.

We trust this information is satisfactory. Please contact us should you have any further queries.


Yours faithfully,


auts


Acoustic Logic Pty Ltd Scott Jacobs


APPENDIX A – NOISE MONITORING RESULTS


CENTENARY INSTITUTE – LEVEL 4 SURGERY ROOM (SOUTHERN FAÇADE)











































RPA HOSPITAL MAIN BUILDING – LEVEL 3 NICU











































OUTSIDE SUSAN WAKIL HEALTH BUILDING








































APPENDIX B – VIBRATION MONITORING RESULTS


Note that only dates where exceedances of events were experienced have been provided as per discussion with relevant stakeholders.

CENTENARY INSTITUTE – LEVEL 3 FISH TANKS







CENTENARY INSTITUTE – LEVEL 4 SURGERY ROOM (SOUTHERN FAÇADE)



RMS Vibration Levels, Z,X&Y





RMS Vibration Levels, Z,X&Y (Time Domain)



RMS Vibration Levels, Z,X&Y

RMS Vibration Levels, Z,X&Y (Time Domain)






RMS Vibration Levels, Z,X&Y


Exceedance (%) Z Axis Max. X Axis Max. • Y Axis Max. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 21 22 23 24 17 18 19 20 Time (24 Hr)

RMS Vibration Levels, Z,X&Y (Time Domain)


CENTENARY INSTITUTE – LEVEL 4 BATHROOM (NORTHERN FAÇADE)




RMS Vibration Levels, Z,X&Y



RMS Vibration Levels, Z,X&Y (Time Domain)





RMS Vibration Levels, Z,X&Y




RMS Vibration Levels, Z,X&Y (Time Domain)

CENTENARY INSTITUTE – LEVEL 4 CLEAN CHANGEROOM (SOUTHERN FAÇADE)






RMS Vibration Levels, Z,X&Y (Time Domain)




RMS Vibration Levels, Z,X&Y





RMS Vibration Levels, Z,X&Y (Time Domain)


Date - 20240907


CHARLES PERKINS CENTRE – LEVEL B1 SOUTHERN CORRIDOR











































CHARLES PERKINS CENTRE – LEVEL B1 SOUTHERN WING OBSERVATION ROOM CORRIDOR

















RPA HOSPITAL MAIN BUILDING – LEVEL 03 NICU



RMS Vibration Levels, Z,X&Y

RMS Vibration Levels, Z,X&Y (Time Domain)






Exceedance (%) 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 Time (24 Hr)


Z Axis Max.
X Axis Max.
Y Axis Max.





RMS Vibration Levels, Z,X&Y

RMS Vibration Levels, Z,X&Y (Time Domain)



OUTSIDE SUSAN WAKIL HEALTH BUILDING

No exceedances occurred during the monitoring period.